ETHIOPIA

Community Codebook

Migration and Development: A World in Motion

Maastricht Graduate School of Governance, Maastricht University

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Maastricht Graduate School of Governance Migration and Development Project P.O. Box 616, 6200MD Maastricht, The Netherlands Phone: + 31 43 388 4650 Fax: + 31 43 388 4864 E-mail: migration-mgsog@maastrichtuniversity.nl







Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
2. INT	ERVIEWER SHEET (IS)			
IS_5	Language in which the interview was	1. Amharic	4	26.67
	conducted	2. Oromifa	6	40.00
		3. Tigrinya	2	13.33
		4. Other	3	20.00
		Total	15	100.00
IS_7	Region in which the interview was	1. Addis Ababa	3	20.00
_	conducted	2. Amhara	3	20.00
		3. Oromiya	3	20.00
		4. SNNP	3	20.00
		5. Tigray	3	20.00
		Total	15	100.00
	NAC and the birth the trace to	Ta contro	1 4	C C7
IS_7	Woreda in which the interview was	1. Gondar 2. Metemba	1 1	6.67 6.67
	conducted			
		3. Dessie Zuria	1	6.67
		4. Erob	1	6.67
		5. Mekele	1	6.67
		6. Raya Azebo	1	6.67
		7. Awassa	1	6.67
		8. Selti	1	6.67
		9. Limu	1	6.67
		10. Jimma	1	6.67
		11. Sinana	1	6.67
		12. Sigmo	1	6.67
		13. Bole	1	6.67
		14. Kirkos	1	6.67
		15. Arada	1	6.67
		Total	15	100.00
A.A c	SIC CHARACTERISTICS ommunity HISTORY, LANGUAGE AND C			
AA_1	Time of existence of the community	n = 13 Range 5 -150	M = 68.23	SD =
	(years)			40.51
^^ ~	Il anguaga anakan in anguaran in ta	1 Ambaria	7	E0.00
AA_2	Language spoken in community in	 Amharic Oromifa 	7 4	50.00 28.57
	daily communication	3. Tigrinya	1	7.14
		4. Other	2	14.28
		Total	14	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
AA_3	Average age of marriage for males in this community	n = 15 Range 18 - 36	M = 26.20	SD = 5.23
	itins community			
	Average age of marriage for females in	n = 15 Range 14 - 27	M = 19.47	SD = 4.58
AA_4	this community	Ů		
A.B co	ommunity POPULATION			
ΔR 1	Number of people living in this	n = 15 Range 2500 - 30000	M =	SD =
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	community	11 - 13 Kange 2300 30000	8770.47	8945.11
			•	
AB_2	Change in community population in	1. Increased	13	86.67
-	the past five years	2. Decreased	2	13.33
	, , , , , , ,	3. Remained the same	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
			·	
AB_3	Number of people with which the	n = 14 Range -88 - 9144	M =	SD =
	community population changed in the past five years		1547.36	2362.88
AB_4a	Main reason (1) for increase, decrease	1. Birth rate increased	6	42.86
	or lack of change in community	2. No family planning is used	1	7.14
	population	3. Death due to diseases (HIV/AIDS)	1	7.14
		4. Good living standards	1	7.14
		5. Employment opportunities	1	7.14
		6. Internal migration	2	14.29
		7. International migration	2	14.29
		Total	14	100.00
	T	T	_	
AB_4b		1. No family planning is used	2	16.67
	or lack of change in community	2. Death rate decreased	1	8.33
	population	3. Married early	1	8.33
		4. Increase in living costs	1	8.33
		5. Migration	3	25.00
		6. Migrants returned	1	8.33
		7. Lack of knowledge	1	8.33
		8. Other	2	16.67
		Total	12	100.00
45.5	In other characters and the state of	L 45 D 67 000	1	CD
AB_5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n = 15 Range 67 - 800	M =	SD =
	only		372.93	293.97
AB 6	Number of households run by children	n = 12 Pong = 0 007		CD
AB_6	younger than 18 only	n = 13 Range 0 - 907	M =	SD =
	younger than 10 only		169.77	255.19

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
B. cor	mmunity ISSUES			
B_1a	Most important problem affecting this	1. Crime/ theft	2	13.33
	community	2. Lack of water	3	20.00
		3. Lack of electricity	1	6.67
		4. Poor access to health care	0	0.00
		5. Poor access to education	0	0.00
		6. Bad transportation	0	0.00
		7. Traffic accidents	0	0.00
		8. Poor environment	1	6.67
		9. lack of employment opportunities	5	33.33
		10. Lack of available land	1	6.67
		11. Security/ conflict	0	0
		12. Corruption	0	0
		13. Waste disposal	0	0
		14. Other	2	13.33
		Total	15	100.00
B_1b	Second most important problem	1. Crime/ theft	0	0.00
_	affecting this community	2. Lack of water	2	13.33
		3. Lack of electricity	2	13.33
		4. Poor access to health care	1	6.67
		5. Poor access to education	0	0.00
		6. Bad transportation	1	6.67
		7. Traffic accidents	0	0.00
		8. Poor environment	1	6.67
		9. Lack of employment opportunities	3	20.00
			_	
		10. Lack of available land	2	13.33
		11. Security/ conflict	1	6.67
		12. Corruption	1	6.67
		13. Waste disposal	1	6.67
		14. Other	0	0
		Total	15	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent	
B_1c	Third most important problem	1. Crime/ theft	1	6.667	
	affecting this community	2. Lack of water	0	0.00	
		3. Lack of electricity	2	13.33	
		4. Poor access to health care	1	6.67	
		5. Poor access to education	0	0.00	
		6. Bad transportation	2	13.33	
		7. Traffic accidents	0	0.00	
		8. Poor environment	1	6.67	
		9. Lack of employment opportunities	0	0.00	
		10. Lack of available land	2	13.33	
		11. Security/ conflict	2	13.33	
		12. Corruption	0	0.00	
		13. Waste disposal	1	6.67	
		14. Other	3	20.00	
		Total	15	100.00	
	C. INFRASTRUCTURE C.A. ROADS AND TRANSPORTATION				
CA_1	Main route that people take to reach				
b	this community during rainy season	1. Paved road	8	53.33	
		2. Dirt road	0	0.00	
		3. Mixed paved and dirt road	5	33.33	
		4. Footpath	2	13.33	
		5. Horse trail	0	0.00	

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		6. River/ sea	0	0.00
		7. Other	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
		1, 5 3 3		
CA 1	Main route that people take to reach			
c	this community during dry season	1. Paved road	8	53.33
	this community during dry scuson	2. Dirt road	0	0.00
		3. Mixed paved and dirt road	5	33.33
		4. Footpath	2	13.33
		5. Horse trail	0	0.00
		6. River/ sea	0	0.00
		7. Other	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
	Rating roads to reach this community		_	
b	during rainy season	1. Very bad	2	13.33
		2. Bad	3	20.00
		3. Medium	3	20.00
		4. Good	5	33.33
		5. Very good	2	13.33
		Total	15	100.00
CA 2	Rating of roads to reach this			
c c	community during dry season	1. Very bad	0	0.00
	community during dry season	2. Bad	2	13.33
		3. Medium	6	40.00
		4. Good	5	33.33
		5. Very good	2	13.33
		Total	15	100.00
CA_3	,	1. Worsened a lot	0	0.00
	the past five years	2. Worsened	1	6.67
		3. Stayed the same	1	6.67
		4. Improved	9	60.00
		5. Improved a lot	4	26.67
		Total	15	100.00
C B W	ATER, SANITATION, ELECTRICITY			
0.5	, <u></u>			
CB 1	Availability of electricity in the	1. Yes, functional	9	60.00
_1	community	2. Yes, but not functional	2	13.33
_		3. No	4	26.67
		Total	15	100.00
		-		
	Availability of public lighting in the	1. Yes, functional	6	40.00
_2	community	2. Yes, but not functional	2	13.33
		3. No	7	46.67
		Total	15	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
CB_1	Availability of piped water in the	1. Yes, functional	9	64.29
_3	community	2. Yes, but not functional	2	14.29
_	,	3. No	3	21.43
		Total	14	100.00
CB_1	Availability of sewage/ drains in the	1. Yes, functional	5	33.33
_4	community	2. Yes, but not functional	1	6.67
		3. No	9	60.00
		Total	15	100.00
CD 1	Availability of garbage collection in the	1 Voc functional	7	53.85
CB_1				
_5	community	2. Yes, but not functional	1	7.69
		3. No	5	38.46
		Total	13	100.00
CB_2	Quality of electricity in the community	1. Very poor	2	20.00
_1		2. Poor	0	0.00
		3. Medium	1	10.00
		4. Good	5	50.00
		5. Very good	2	20.00
		Total	10	100.00
		10.01	1 10	100.00
CB_2	Quality of public lighting in the	1. Very poor	2	28.57
_2	community	2. Poor	1	14.29
	,	3. Medium	1	14.29
		4. Good	2	28.57
		5. Very good	1	14.29
		Total	7	100.00
CB_2	Quality of piped water in the	1. Very poor	2	18.18
_3	community	2. Poor	1	9.09
		3. Medium	2	18.18
		4. Good	5	45.45
		5. Very good	1	9.09
		Total	11	100.00
CB_2	Quality of sewage/ drains in the	1. Very poor	1	20.00
СБ_2_ _4	community	2. Poor		0.00
_*	Community	3. Medium	0 2	40.00
		4. Good	2	40.00
				0.00
		5. Very good Total	0 5	
	l	liorai	3	100.00
CB_2	Quality of garbage collection in the	1. Very poor	0	0.00
_5	community	2. Poor	1	14.29
_	,	3. Medium	3	42.86
		4. Good	1	14.29
		5. Very good	2	28.57

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
CB_3	Portion of the community covered by	1. Almost no community members	1	10.00
_1	electricity	2. Less than half of the community	1	10.00
	•	3. Around half of the community	0	0.00
		members		
		4. More than half of the community	3	30.00
		members		
		5. Almost all community members	5	50.00
		Total	10	100.00
			<u> </u>	
CB_3	Portion of the community covered by	1. Almost no community members	0	0.00
2	public lighting	2. Less than half of the community	4	57.14
_		3. Around half of the community	0	0.00
		members	_	
		4. More than half of the community	0	0.00
		members	· ·	0.00
		5. Almost all community members	3	42.86
		Total	7	100.00
				200.00
CB_3	Portion of the community covered by	1. Almost no community members	1	9.09
_3	piped water	2. Less than half of the community	4	36.36
_	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3. Around half of the community	1	9.09
		members	_	
		4. More than half of the community	2	18.18
		members		
		5. Almost all community members	3	27.27
		Total	11	100.00
CB_3	Portion of the community covered by	1. Almost no community members	0	0.00
4	sewage/ drains	,		
_		2. Less than half of the community	2	40.00
		members		
		3. Around half of the community	1	20.00
		members		
		4. More than half of the community	0	0.00
		members		
		5. Almost all community members	2	40.00
		Total	5	100.00
		•		
CB_3	Portion of the community covered	1. Almost no community members	0	0.00
_5	by garbage collection	2. Less than half of the community men	2	28.57
		3. Around half of the community	1	14.29
		members		
		4. More than half of the community	1	14.29
		members		-
		5. Almost all community members	3	42.86
		Total	7	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
CB_4	Change in electricity in the past five	1. Worsened a lot	1	6.67
_1	vears	2. Worsened	0	0.00
	ľ	3. Stayed the same	7	46.67
		4. Improved	6	40.00
		5. Improved a lot	1	6.67
		Total	15	100.00
		•	•	
CB_4 _2	Change in public lighting in the past five years	1. Worsened a lot	0	0.00
		2. Worsened	0	0.00
		3. Stayed the same	7	53.85
		4. Improved	5	38.46
		5. Improved a lot	1	7.69
		Total	13	100.00
	T	1		
CB_4	Change in piped water in the past five	1. Worsened a lot	1	7.69
_3	years	2. Worsened	2	15.38
		3. Stayed the same	3	23.08
		4. Improved	6	46.15
		5. Improved a lot	1	7.69
		Total	13	100.00
CB_4	Change in sewage/ drains in the past	1. Worsened a lot	1	7.69
_				7.69 7.69
_4	five years	2. Worsened	1	
		3. Stayed the same	5	38.46
		4. Improved	6	46.15
		5. Improved a lot	0	0.00
		Total	13	100.00
CB_4	Change in garbage collection in the	1. Worsened a lot	1	8.33
5	past five years	2. Worsened	0	0.00
_3	,,,,,,	3. Stayed the same	5	41.67
		4. Improved	5	41.67
		1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	8.33
		5. Improved a lot Total	12	100.00
C.C H	DUSING AND LAND	Total	12	100.00
CC_1	Rating of availability of housing in this	1. Very poor	5	33.33
_	community	2. Poor	6	40.00
		3. Medium	2	13.33
		4. Good	2	13.33
		5. Very good	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
CC_2	Change in availability of housing in the	1. Worsened a lot	6	40.00
Ī -	past five years	2. Worsened	0	0.00
		3. Stayed the same	2	13.33
		4. Improved	7	46.67

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		5. Improved a lot	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
CC_3	Rating of availability of land in this	1. Very poor	11	73.33
	community	2. Poor	3	20.00
		3. Medium	0	0.00
		4. Good	1	6.67
		5. Very good	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
	T	T	_	
CC_4	,	1. Worsened a lot	9	60.00
	past five years	2. Worsened	1	6.67
		3. Stayed the same	3	20.00
		4. Improved	2	13.33
		5. Improved a lot	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
C. D F	ACILITIES			
CD_1	Presence of a health centre/ clinic in	1. Yes	11	73.33
_1	this community	3. No	4	26.67
		Total	15	100.00
				ı
	Number of households having access	1. Almost no households	2	18.18
_1	to a health centre/ clinic	2. Less than half of the households	4	36.36
		3. Around half of the households	0	0.00
		4. More than half of the households	2	18.18
		5. Almost all households	3	27.27
		Total	11	100.00
	T	Ta		
		1.Income level	1	11.11
_1	access to health centre/ clinic	2. Occupation	0	0.00
		3. Social status (class)	0	0.00
		4. Age	0	0.00
		5. Gender	1	11.11
		6. Race/ ethnicity	0	0.00
		7. Language	0	0.00
		8. Religious beliefs	0	0.00
		9. This service does not have enough	2	22.22
		10. Other	1	11.11
		Not applicable	4	44.44
		Total	9	100.00
CD_4 a_1	Time (hours) to reach a health centre/clinic if it is not available in this community	n = 5 Range 0 - 3	M =1.60	SD = 1.34
 -	jeoninamity	1		1
CD_ 4b_1	Time (minutes) to reach a health centre/ clinic if it not available in this	n = 5 Range 0 - 30	M = 18.00	SD = 16.43
L	community		<u> </u>	

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
CD_5	Type of transportation to reach a	1. On foot	7	100.00
_1	health centre/clinic if it is not available		0	0.00
	in this community	3. By bicycle	0	0.00
		4. By private car or motorcycle	0	0.00
		5. By bus	0	0.00
		6. By taxi	0	0.00
		7. By boat	0	0.00
		8 Other	0	0.00
		Total	7	100.00
	T	I		
	Presence of a hospital in this	1. Yes	4	28.57
_2	community	2. No	10	71.43
		Total	14	100.00
	I., , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	T		
CD_2 _2	Number of households having access to a hospital	1. Almost no households	1	16.67
		2. Less than half of the households	1	16.67
		3. Around half of the households	0	0.00
		4. More than half of the households	1	16.67
		5. Almost all households	3	50.00
		Total	6	100.00
			•	
CD_3	Reasons why some people do not	1.Income level	1	20.00
_2	have access to a hospital	2. Occupation	0	0.00
		3. Social status (class)	0	0.00
		4. Age	0	0.00
		5. Gender	0	0.00
		6. Race/ ethnicity	0	0.00
		7. Language	0	0.00
		8. Religious beliefs	0	0.00
		9. This service does not have enough	0	0.00
		capacity		
		10. Other	1	20.00
		Not applicable	3	60.00
		Total	5	100.00
CD_	Time (hours) to reach a hospital if it is	n = 8 Range 0 - 82	M = 11.88	SD = 28.35
4a_2	not available in this community			
CD_	Time (minutes) to reach a hospital if it	n= 9 Range 0 - 30	M = 23.89	SD = 12.19
4b_2	is not available in this community	J Kange o 30	23.03	12.13
	is not available in this community			
CD_5	Type of transportation to reach a	1. On foot	9	81.82
_2	hospital if it is not available in this	2. By horse/ mule/ donkey	1	9.09
	community	3. By bicycle	0	0.00
		4. By private car or motorcycle	0	0.00
		5. By bus	0	0.00
		J. Dy Dus	U	0.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		6. By taxi	1	9.09
		7. By boat	0	0.00
		8 Other	0	0.00
		Total	11	100.00
			1	
	Presence of a pharmacy in this	1. Yes	7	46.67
_3	community	2. No	8	53.33
		Total	15	100.00
CD 3	Number of households having access	1. Almost no households	2	28.57
_3	to a pharmacy	1. Almost no nousenolus	2	20.57
-3	то а рнатнасу	2. Less than half of the households	1	14.29
		3. Around half of the households	0	0.00
		4. More than half of the households	1	14.29
		5. Almost all households	3	42.86
		Total	7	100.00
		Total		100.00
CD 3	Reasons why some people do not	1.Income level	1	20.00
_3	have access to a pharmacy	2. Occupation	0	0.00
	mare access to a priamiliary	3. Social status (class)	0	0.00
		4. Age	0	0.00
		5. Gender	0	0.00
		6. Race/ ethnicity	0	0.00
		7. Language	0	0.00
		8. Religious beliefs	0	0.00
		9. This service does not have enough	0	0.00
		capacity		
		10. Other	0	0.00
		Not applicable	4	80.00
		Total	5	100.00
			•	
CD_	Time (hours) to reach a pharmacy if it	n = 8 Range 0 - 82	M =11.88	SD = 28.37
4a_3	is not available in this community			
-				
CD_	Time (minutes) to reach a pharmacy if	n = 7 Range 0 - 30	M = 22 14	SD = 13.50
_			IVI = 22.14	3D = 13.30
40_3	it is not available in this community			
	1	1	1	'
CD_5	Type of transportation to reach a	1. On foot	9	90.00
_3	pharmacy if it is not available in this	2. By horse/ mule/ donkey	1	10.00
	community	3. By bicycle	0	0.00
		4. By private car or motorcycle	0	0.00
		5. By bus	0	0.00
		6. By taxi	0	0.00
		7. By boat	0	0.00
		8 Other	0	0.00
		Total	10	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
CD_1_	Presence of a pre-school in this	1. Yes	8	57.14
	community	2. No	6	42.86
	•	Total	14	100.00
	-	T	•	
CD_2	Number of households having access	1. Almost no households	1	12.50
	to a pre-school	2. Less than half of the households	3	37.50
		3. Around half of the households	0	0.00
		4. More than half of the households	1	12.50
		5. Almost all households	3	37.50
		Total	8	100.00
60.0	<u> </u>	Ia		44.20
	Reasons why some people do not	1.Income level	1	14.29
_4	have access to a pre-school	2. Occupation	0	0.00
		3. Social status (class)	0	0.00
		4. Age	0	0.00
		5. Gender	0	0.00
		6. Race/ ethnicity	1	14.29
		7. Language	0	0.00
		8. Religious beliefs	0	0.00
		9. This service does not have enough	2	28.57
		10. Other	0	0.00
		Not applicable	3	42.86
		Total	7	100.00
CD 45	Time (hours) to reach a pre-school if it	n = 6 Range 0 - 82	M - 14 67	SD = 32.99
_4	is not available in this community	III = 0 Range 0 - 02	W = 14.07	30 - 32.33
CD_	Time (minutes) to reach a pre-school if	n = 6 Range 5 - 30	M = 20.00	SD = 11.40
4b_4	it not available in this community			
CD_5	Type of transportation to reach a pre-	1. On foot	7	87.50
_4	school if it is not available in this	2. By horse/ mule/ donkey	1	12.50
	community	3. By bicycle	0	0.00
		4. By private car or motorcycle	0	0.00
		5. By bus	0	0.00
		6. By taxi	0	0.00
		7. By boat	0	0.00
		8 Other	0	0.00
		Total	8	100.00
CD_	Presence of a primary school in this	1. Yes	12	85.71
1_5	community	2. No	2	14.29
		Total	14	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
CD_2	Number of households having access	1. Almost no households	1	7.69
	to a primary school	2. Less than half of the households	2	15.38
		3. Around half of the households	0	0.00
		4. More than half of the households	0	0.00
		5. Almost all households	10	76.92
		Total	13	100.00
			T	
CD_3_	Reasons why some people do not	1.Income level	0	0.00
	have access to a primary school	2. Occupation	0	0.00
		3. Social status (class)	0	0.00
		4. Age	0	0.00
		5. Gender	0	0.00
		6. Race/ ethnicity	0	0.00
		7. Language	0	0.00
		8. Religious beliefs	0	0.00
		9. This service does not have enough	1	10.00
		capacity		
		10. Other	2	20.00
		Not applicable	7	70.00
		Total	10	100.00
	<u></u>			
CD_	Time (hours) to reach a primary school	n = 1 Range 0 - 0	M = 0	SD = 0
4a_5	if it is not available in this community			
CD_	Time (minutes) to reach a primary	n = 3 Range 10 - 15	M = 13.33	SD = 2.89
4b_5	school if it is not available in this			
_	community			
	***************************************		•	
CD_5	Type of transportation to reach a	1. On foot	5	100.00
_5	primary school if it is not available in	2. By horse/ mule/ donkey	0	0.00
	this community	3. By bicycle	0	0.00
		4. By private car or motorcycle	0	0.00
		5. By bus	0	0.00
		6. By taxi	0	0.00
		7. By boat	0	0.00
		8 Other	0	0.00
		Total	5	100.00
-	<u> </u>	L		20.77
_	l '	1. Yes	4	30.77
_6	community	2. No	9	69.23
		Total	13	100.00

4a_6 school if it is not available in this community	0 2 0 0 3 5 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00 40.00 0.00 0.00 60.00 100.00 20.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0
2. Less than half of the households 3. Around half of the households 4. More than half of the households 5. Almost all households Total CD_ Reasons why some people do not have access to a secondary school 1. Income level 2. Occupation 3. Social status (class) 4. Age 5. Gender 6. Race/ ethnicity 7. Language 8. Religious beliefs 9. This service does not have enough capacity 10. Other Not applicable Total CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary school if it is not available in this community	0 0 3 5 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 60.00 100.00 20.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 40.00 40.00
2. Less than half of the households 3. Around half of the households 4. More than half of the households 5. Almost all households Total CD_ Reasons why some people do not have access to a secondary school 1. Income level 2. Occupation 3. Social status (class) 4. Age 5. Gender 6. Race/ ethnicity 7. Language 8. Religious beliefs 9. This service does not have enough capacity 10. Other Not applicable Total CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary school if it is not available in this community	0 0 3 5 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 60.00 100.00 20.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 40.00 40.00
4. More than half of the households 5. Almost all households Total CD_ Reasons why some people do not have access to a secondary school 3. Social status (class) 4. Age 5. Gender 6. Race/ ethnicity 7. Language 8. Religious beliefs 9. This service does not have enough capacity 10. Other Not applicable Total CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary school if it is not available in this community	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00 60.00 100.00 20.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.
CD_ Reasons why some people do not have access to a secondary school CD_ S_6 Reasons why some people do not have access to a secondary school 1.Income level 2. Occupation 3. Social status (class) 4. Age 5. Gender 6. Race/ ethnicity 7. Language 8. Religious beliefs 9. This service does not have enough capacity 10. Other Not applicable Total CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary school if it is not available in this community	3 5 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2	20.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0
CD_ 3_6 Reasons why some people do not have access to a secondary school 1.Income level 2. Occupation 3. Social status (class) 4. Age 5. Gender 6. Race/ ethnicity 7. Language 8. Religious beliefs 9. This service does not have enough capacity 10. Other Not applicable Total CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary 4a_6 school if it is not available in this community	5 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2	20.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0
CD_ Reasons why some people do not have access to a secondary school 1.Income level 2. Occupation 3. Social status (class) 4. Age 5. Gender 6. Race/ ethnicity 7. Language 8. Religious beliefs 9. This service does not have enough capacity 10. Other Not applicable Total CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary school if it is not available in this community n = 7 Range 1 - 3	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0
have access to a secondary school 2. Occupation 3. Social status (class) 4. Age 5. Gender 6. Race/ ethnicity 7. Language 8. Religious beliefs 9. This service does not have enough capacity 10. Other Not applicable Total CD_ 4a_6 Time (hours) to reach a secondary school if it is not available in this community n = 7 Range 1 - 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0
have access to a secondary school 2. Occupation 3. Social status (class) 4. Age 5. Gender 6. Race/ ethnicity 7. Language 8. Religious beliefs 9. This service does not have enough capacity 10. Other Not applicable Total CD_ 4a_6 Time (hours) to reach a secondary school if it is not available in this community n = 7 Range 1 - 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0
3. Social status (class) 4. Age 5. Gender 6. Race/ ethnicity 7. Language 8. Religious beliefs 9. This service does not have enough capacity 10. Other Not applicable Total CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary school if it is not available in this community	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 40.00
4. Age 5. Gender 6. Race/ ethnicity 7. Language 8. Religious beliefs 9. This service does not have enough capacity 10. Other Not applicable Total CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary school if it is not available in this community	0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 40.00
5. Gender 6. Race/ ethnicity 7. Language 8. Religious beliefs 9. This service does not have enough capacity 10. Other Not applicable Total CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary school if it is not available in this community n = 7 Range 1 - 3	0 0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 40.00
6. Race/ ethnicity 7. Language 8. Religious beliefs 9. This service does not have enough capacity 10. Other Not applicable Total CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary school if it is not available in this community n = 7 Range 1 - 3	0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 40.00 40.00
7. Language 8. Religious beliefs 9. This service does not have enough capacity 10. Other Not applicable Total CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary school if it is not available in this community 7. Language 8. Religious beliefs 9. This service does not have enough capacity 10. Other Not applicable Total	0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00 40.00 40.00
8. Religious beliefs 9. This service does not have enough capacity 10. Other Not applicable Total CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary school if it is not available in this community	0 0 2 2	0.00 0.00 40.00 40.00
9. This service does not have enough capacity 10. Other Not applicable Total CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary school if it is not available in this community	0 2 2	0.00 40.00 40.00
capacity 10. Other Not applicable Total CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary school if it is not available in this community n = 7 Range 1 - 3	2 2	40.00 40.00
10. Other Not applicable Total CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary school if it is not available in this community 10. Other Not applicable Total	2	40.00
CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary	2	40.00
CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary		
CD_ Time (hours) to reach a secondary	5	100.00
4a_6 school if it is not available in this community		
4a_6 school if it is not available in this community		
community	M = 1.43	SD = 0.79
CD Time (minutes) to reach a secondary In = 10 Range 0 - 30 N.		
ICD Time (minutes) to reach a secondary $In = 10$ Range $0 = 30$		
1 - 1	M = 15.00	SD = 14.14
4b_6 school if it is not available in this		
community		
CD_ Type of transportation to reach a 1. On foot	10	90.91
5_6 secondary school if it is not available 2. By horse/ mule/ donkey	10	9.09
- '	0	0.00
in this community 3. By bicycle 4. By private car or motorcycle	0	0.00
5. By bus	0	0.00
6. By taxi	0	0.00
7. By boat	0	0.00
8 Other	0	0.00
Total	11	100.00
	11	100.00
CD_ Presence of water distribution in this 1. Yes	10	66.67
1_7 community 2. No		33.33
Total	5	100.00

CD_2 Number of households having access to water distribution 1. Almost no households 2. Less than half of the households 3. Around half of the households 4. More than half of the households 5. Almost all households Total	0	20.00 30.00
3. Around half of the households 4. More than half of the households 5. Almost all households	0	
4. More than half of the households 5. Almost all households	_	0.00
5. Almost all households	lds 0	0.00
		0.00
	5	50.00
	10	100.00
CD_3 Reasons why some people do not 1.Income level	0	0.00
have access to water distribution 2. Occupation	0	0.00
3. Social status (class)	0	0.00
4. Age	0	0.00
5. Gender	0	0.00
6. Race/ ethnicity	1	14.29
7. Language	0	0.00
8. Religious beliefs	0	0.00
9. This service does not have enough	ugh 1	14.29
capacity		
10. Other	1	14.29
Not applicable	4	57.14
Total	7	100.00
1000		200.00
CD_ Time (hours) to reach water	M = 0.67	SD = 0.58
4a_7 distribution if it is not available in this		
community		
		•
CD_ Time (minutes) to reach water	M = 25.00	SD = 10.00
4b_7 distribution if it is not available in this		
community		
CD_5 Type of transportation to reach water 1. On foot	6	100.00
distribution if it is not available in this 2. By horse/ mule/ donkey	0	0.00
community 3. By bicycle	0	0.00
4. By private car or motorcycle	0	0.00
5. By bus	0	0.00
6. By taxi	0	0.00
7. By boat	0	0.00
8 Other	0	0.00
Total	6	100.00
	-	
CD_1 Presence of a market on this 1. Yes	6	50.00
_8 community 2. No	6	50.00
Total	12	100.00
CD_2 Number of households having access 1. Almost no households	2	33.33
2. Less than half of the household	ls 0	0.00
3. Around half of the households	0	0.00
1 1	lds 0	0.00
4. More than half of the househol		66.67
4. More than half of the households 5. Almost all households	4	00.07
	4 6	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
CD_3	Reasons why some people do not	1.Income level	0	0.00
_8	have access to a market	2. Occupation	0	0.00
		3. Social status (class)	0	0.00
		4. Age	0	0.00
		5. Gender	0	0.00
		6. Race/ ethnicity	0	0.00
		7. Language	0	0.00
		8. Religious beliefs	0	0.00
		9. This service does not have enough	0	0.00
		10. Other	0	0.00
		Not applicable	4	100.00
		Total	4	100.00
<u> </u>	I //	T. 5	I 14 4 40	CD 0 55
CD_	Time (hours) to reach a market if it is	n = 5 Range 1 - 2	M = 1.40	SD = 0.55
4a_8	not available in this community			
		<u> </u>		
CD_	Time (minutes) to reach a market if it	n = 5 Range 0 - 30	M = 15.00	SD = 15.00
4b_8	is not available in this community			
CD_5	Type of transportation to reach a	1. On foot	7	100.00
_8	market if it is not available in this	2. By horse/ mule/ donkey	0	0.00
	community	3. By bicycle	0	0.00
		4. By private car or motorcycle	0	0.00
		5. By bus	0	0.00
		6. By taxi	0	0.00
		7. By boat	0	0.00
		8 Other	0	0.00
		Total	7	100.00
CD 1	Presence of public transportation in	1. Yes	10	71.43
_9	this community	2. No	4	28.57
-	in s community	Total	14	100.00
		1		
CD_2	Number of households having access	1. Almost no households	2	20.00
_9	to public transportation	2. Less than half of the households	2	20.00
		3. Around half of the households	1	10.00
		4. More than half of the households	1	10.00
		5. Almost all households	4	40.00
		Total	10	100.00
CD 3	Reasons why some people do not	1.Income level	2	25.00
_9	have access to public transportation	2. Occupation	0	0.00
-	mave access to public transportation	3. Social status (class)	0	0.00
		4. Age	0	0.00
		5. Gender	0	0.00
		6. Race/ ethnicity	1	12.50
		7. Language	0	0.00
		8. Religious beliefs	0	0.00
		9. This service does not have enough	1	12.50
		capacity		12.30
Ц		Icapacity	I]

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		10. Other	0	0.00
		Not applicable	4	50.00
		Total	8	100.00
	•	-		
CD_	Time (hours) to reach public	n = 5 Range 1 - 10	M = 3.4	SD = 3.91
4a_9	transportation if it is not available in			
	this community			
			•	
CD_	Time (minutes) to reach public	n = 6 Range 0 - 45	M = 19.17	SD = 18.55
4b_9	transportation if it is not available in			
	this community			
_	-			
CD_	Type of transportation to reach public	1. On foot	6	85.71
5_9	transportation if it is not available in	2. By horse/ mule/ donkey	1	14.29
	this community	3. By bicycle	0	0.00
		4. By private car or motorcycle	0	0.00
		5. By bus	0	0.00
		6. By taxi	0	0.00
		7. By boat	0	0.00
		8 Other	0	0.00
		Total	7	100.00
<u> </u>	In	la v	1 0	64.20
	Presence of a public phone in this	1. Yes	9	64.29
_10	community	2. No	5	35.71
		Total	14	100.00
CD 3	Number of households having access	1. Almost no households	2	22.22
10	to a public phone	1. All flost flo flousefloids	2	22.22
_10		2. Less than half of the households	2	22.22
		3. Around half of the households	0	0.00
		4. More than half of the households	1	11.11
		5. Almost all households	4	44.44
		Total	9	100.00
	<u> </u>	11000	1 ,	100.00
CD 3	Reasons why some people do not	1.Income level	0	0.00
_	have access to a public phone	2. Occupation	0	0.00
	access to a pasine priorie	3. Social status (class)	0	0.00
		4. Age	0	0.00
		5. Gender	0	0.00
		6. Race/ ethnicity	1	16.67
		7. Language	0	0.00
		8. Religious beliefs	0	0.00
		9. This service does not have enough	0	0.00
		capacity		0.00
		10. Other	2	33.33
		Not applicable	3	50.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
CD_	Time (hours) to reach a public phone if	n = 6 Range 0 - 2	M = 1.17	SD = 0.75
4a_10	it is not available in this community			
	-	I	10.00	65 42.67
CD_	Time (minutes) to reach a public	n = 7 Range 0 - 30	M = 19.29	SD = 13.67
4b_10	phone if it is not available in this			
	community	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
CD_	Type of transportation to reach a	1. On foot	7	87.50
5_10	public phone if it is not available in	2. By horse/ mule/ donkey	1	12.50
-	this community	3. By bicycle	0	0.00
	, and community	4. By private car or motorcycle	0	0.00
		5. By bus	0	0.00
		6. By taxi	0	0.00
		7. By boat	0	0.00
		8 Other	0	0.00
		Total	8	100.00
	-		-	•
CD_	Presence of an internet café/	1. Yes	5	38.46
1_11	connection in this community	2. No	8	61.54
		Total	13	100.00
CD 3	Number of households having access	1. Almost no households	<u> </u>	33.33
11	_	2. Less than half of the households	2	0.00
	to an internet café/ connection	3. Around half of the households	0	0.00
		4. More than half of the households	1	16.67
		5. Almost all households	3	50.00
		Total	6	100.00
	<u> </u>	Total		100.00
CD_	Reasons why some people do not	1.Income level	0	0.00
3_11	have access to an internet café/	2. Occupation	0	0.00
	connection	3. Social status (class)	0	0.00
		4. Age	0	0.00
		5. Gender	0	0.00
		6. Race/ ethnicity	1	20.00
		7. Language	0	0.00
		8. Religious beliefs	0	0.00
		9. This service does not have enough	0	40.00
		capacity		
		10. Other	1	20.00
		Not applicable	3	60.00
		Total	5	100.00
	E	T =	T	
CD_	Time (hours) to reach an internet	n = 7 Range 0 - 3	M = 1.57	SD = 0.98
4a_11	café/connection if it is not available in			
	this community			<u> </u>
CD	Time (minutes) to week a state of	n - 0 Pongo 0 20	M - 34 00	CD - 42 F3
CD_	Time (minutes) to reach an internet	n = 8 Range 0 - 30	M = 21.88	SD = 12.52
⁴⁰ _11	café/connection if it is not available in			
	this community			

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
CD_	Type of transportation to reach an	1. On foot	8	88.89
5_11	internet café/connection if it is not	2. By horse/ mule/ donkey	1	11.11
	available in this community	3. By bicycle	0	0.00
	,	4. By private car or motorcycle	0	0.00
		5. By bus	0	0.00
		6. By taxi	0	0.00
		7. By boat	0	0.00
		8 Other	0	0.00
		Total	9	100.00
				<u> </u>
CD_1	Presence of a post office in this	1. Yes	4	30.77
_12	community	2. No	9	69.23
		Total	13	100.00
			_	
	Number of households having access	1. Almost no households	0	0.00
_12	to a post office	2. Less than half of the households	0	0.00
		3. Around half of the households	0	0.00
		4. More than half of the households	1	20.00
		5. Almost all households	4	80.00
		Total	5	100.00
CD_3	Reasons why some people do not	1.Income level	0	0.00
_12	have access to a post office	2. Occupation	0	0.00
	·	3. Social status (class)	0	0.00
		4. Age	0	0.00
		5. Gender	0	0.00
		6. Race/ ethnicity	0	0.00
		7. Language	0	0.00
		8. Religious beliefs	0	0.00
		9. This service does not have enough	0	0.00
		capacity		
		10. Other	2	50.00
		Not applicable	2	50.00
		Total	4	100.00
CD_	Time (hours) to reach a post office if it	n = 8 Range 0 - 4	M = 1.88	1.36
4a_12	is not available in this community			
	,			
CD_	Time (minutes) to reach a post office if	n = 9 Range 0 - 30	M = 14.11	SD = 13.86
4b_12	it is not available in this community			
			•	1
CD_	1 /	1. On foot	10	90.91
5_12	office if it is not available in this	2. By horse/ mule/ donkey	1	9.09
	community	3. By bicycle	0	0.00
		4. By private car or motorcycle	0	0.00
		5. By bus	0	0.00
		6. By taxi	0	0.00
		7. By boat	0	0.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		8 Other	0	0.00
		Total	11	100.00
CD_1	Presence of a bank in this community	1. Yes	4	26.67
_13	·	2. No	11	73.33
		Total	15	100.00
	•	-		
CD_2	Number of households having access	1. Almost no households	1	16.67
_13	to a bank	2. Less than half of the households	0	0.00
		3. Around half of the households	1	16.67
		4. More than half of the households	1	16.67
		5. Almost all households	3	50.00
		Total	6	100.00
			1	
	Reasons why some people do not	1. Income level	0	0.00
_13	have access to a bank	2. Occupation	0	0.00
		3. Social status (class)	0	0.00
		4. Age	0	0.00
		5. Gender	0	0.00
		6. Race/ ethnicity	0	0.00
		7. Language	0	0.00
		8. Religious beliefs	0	0.00
		9. This service does not have enough	0	0.00
		capacity		
		10. Other	2	40.00
		Not applicable	3	60.00
		Total	5	100.00
CD_	Time (hours) to reach a bank if it is not	n = 9 Range 0 - 4	M = 1.56	SD = 1.33
_	, ,	II = 9 Kange 0 - 4	IVI = 1.50	3D = 1.33
4a_13	available in this community			
CD_	Time (minutes) to reach a bank if it is	n = 10 Range 2 - 38	M = 26.50	SD = 10.28
_	not available in this community		101 – 20.30	3D - 10.26
70_13	Thot available in this community			
CD 5	Type of transportation to reach a bank	1 On foot	10	90.91
	if it is not available in this community		1	9.09
	in it is not available in this community	3. By bicycle	0	0.00
		4. By private car or motorcycle	0	0.00
		5. By bus	0	0.00
		6. By taxi	0	0.00
		7. By boat	0	0.00
		8 Other	0	0.00
		Total	11	100.00
	1	1	<u> </u>	
CD 1	Presence of a mto in this community	1. Yes	3	21.43
_14	and the same of th	2. No	11	78.57
<u> </u>		Total	14	100.00
	1	1		
CD_2	Number of households having access	1. Almost no households	0	0.00
_14	to a money transfer operator	2. Less than half of the households	1	20.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		3. Around half of the households	0	0.00
		4. More than half of the households	1	20.00
		5. Almost all households	3	60.00
		Total	5	100.00
				1
CD_3	Reasons why some people do not	1.Income level	0	0.00
_14	have access to a mto	2. Occupation	0	0.00
		3. Social status (class)	0	0.00
		4. Age	0	0.00
		5. Gender	0	0.00
		6. Race/ ethnicity	0	0.00
		7. Language	0	0.00
		8. Religious beliefs	0	0.00
		9. This service does not have enough	0	0.00
		capacity 10. Other	,	40.00
			2	60.00
		Not applicable Total	3 5	
		Total	3	100.00
CD	Time (hours) to reach a mto if it is not	n = 9 Range 0 - 4	M = 1.67	SD = 1.32
	available in this community	in 3 mange 5 i	107	35 1.32
	available in this community		1	l .
CD_	Time (minutes) to reach a mto if it is	n = 10 Range 0 - 38	M = 23.50	SD = 13.13
4b_14	not available in this community	_		
			•	
CD_5	Type of transportation to reach a mto	1. On foot	10	90.91
_14	if it is not available in this community	2. By horse/ mule/ donkey	1	9.09
		3. By bicycle	0	0.00
		4. By private car or motorcycle	0	0.00
		5. By bus	0	0.00
		6. By taxi	0	0.00
		7. By boat	0	0.00
		8 Other	0	0.00
		Total	11	100.00
CD 1	processes of a mfi in this community.	1 Vos	7	E0.00
_15	presence of a mfi in this community	1. Yes 2. No	7 7	50.00 50.00
-13		Total	14	100.00
		Total	14	100.00
C_2	Number of households having access	1. Almost no households	0	0.00
_15	to a Micro-finance institution	2. Less than half of the households	1	12.50
	to a where inflance institution	3. Around half of the households	1	12.50
			_	
		4. More than half of the households	2	25.00
		5. Almost all households	4	50.00
	L	Total	8	100.00
CD_3	Reasons why some people do not	1.Income level	0	0.00
_15	have access to a mfi	2. Occupation	0	0.00
-13	mave access to a mill	3. Social status (class)	0	0.00
	ļ	J. Journal Status (Class)		0.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		4. Age	0	0.00
		5. Gender	0	0.00
		6. Race/ ethnicity	0	0.00
		7. Language	0	0.00
		8. Religious beliefs	0	0.00
		9. This service does not have enough	0	0.00
		capacity		
		10. Other	2	33.33
		Not applicable	4	66.67
		Total	6	100.00
			l	
	Time (hours) to reach a mfi if it is not	n = 6 Range 0 - 4	M = 1.67	SD = 1.51
_15	available in this community			
CD	Time (minutes) to reach a mfi if it is	n = 7 Range 0- 30	M = 20.29	SD = 13.68
_	not available in this community	nange 0- 30	101 - 20.23	JD - 13.00
70_13	not available in this community	<u>l</u>		
CD 5	Type of transportation to reach a mfi if	1. On foot	7	87.50
	it is not available in this community	2. By horse/ mule/ donkey	1	12.50
	,	3. By bicycle	0	0.00
		4. By private car or motorcycle	0	0.00
		5. By bus	0	0.00
		6. By taxi	0	0.00
		7. By boat	0	0.00
		8 Other	0	0.00
		Total	8	100.00
		Ta va		
CD_1_	Presence of security / police services	1. Yes	11	84.62
	in this community	2. No	2	15.38
		Total	13	100.00
C_2	Number of households having access	1. Almost no households	2	18.18
\ <u></u>	to security/police services	2. Less than half of the households	3	27.27
	to security/police services	3. Around half of the households	0	0.00
		4. More than half of the households	0	0.00
		5. Almost all households	6	54.55
		Total	11	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
CD_3	Reasons why some people do not	1.Income level	0	0.00
_16	have access to security/ police services	2. Occupation	0	0.00
	,,,,,,	3. Social status (class)	0	0.00
		4. Age	0	0.00
		5. Gender	0	0.00
		6. Race/ ethnicity	0	0.00
		7. Language	0	0.00
		8. Religious beliefs	0	0.00
		9. This service does not have enough	1	11.11
		capacity		
		10. Other	1	11.11
		Not applicable	7	77.78
		Total	9	100.00
	Time (hours) to reach security/police	n = 3 Range 1 - 1	M = 1.00	SD = 0.00
_16	services if it is not available in this			
	community			
CD 4h	Time (minutes) to reach	n = 4 Range 0 - 30	M = 18.75	SD = 14.36
16	security/police services if not available	Nange 0 - 30	IVI = 18.73	3D - 14.30
-10				
	in this community			
CD 5	Type of transportation to reach	1. On foot	5	83.33
_ _16	security/ police services if it is not	2. By horse/ mule/ donkey	1	16.67
_	available in this community	3. By bicycle	0	0.00
	,	4. By private car or motorcycle	0	0.00
		5. By bus	0	0.00
		6. By taxi	0	0.00
		7. By boat	0	0.00
		8 Other	0	0.00
		Total	6	100.00
			•	
CD_1	Presence of preparatory school in this	1. Yes	3	25.00
_17	community	2. No	9	75.00
		Total	12	100.00
		<u> </u>	1	
C_2	Number of households having access	1. Almost no households	0	0.00
_17	to a preparatory school	2. Less than half of the households	1	25.00
		3. Around half of the households	0	0.00
		4. More than half of the households	0	0.00
		5. Almost all households	3	75.00
		Total	4	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
CD_3	Reasons why some people do not	1.Income level	0	0.00
	have access to a preparatory school	2. Occupation	0	0.00
		3. Social status (class)	0	0.00
		4. Age	0	0.00
		5. Gender	0	0.00
		6. Race/ ethnicity	0	0.00
		7. Language	0	0.00
		8. Religious beliefs	0	0.00
		9. This service does not have enough	1	25.00
		capacity		
		10. Other	1	25.00
		Not applicable	2	50.00
		Total	4	100.00
	<u></u>		1	1
CD_	Time (hours) to reach a preparatoy	n = 7 Range 0 - 3	M = 1.57	SD = 1.13
4a_17	school if it is not available in this			
	community			
	I 	I. 0	L 10 11	CD 42.22
CD_	Time (minutes) to reach a preparatoy	n = 9 Range 0 - 30	M = 19.44	SD = 13.33
4b_1/	school if not available in this			
	community			
CD 5	Type of transportation to reach a	1. On foot	8	100.00
17		2. By horse/ mule/ donkey	0	0.00
-1'	j ,	3. By bicycle	0	0.00
	in this community	4. By private car or motorcycle	0	0.00
		5. By bus	0	0.00
		6. By taxi	0	0.00
		7. By boat	0	0.00
		8 Other	0	0.00
		Total	8	100.00
		Total		100.00
D. ECC	DNOMIC SITUATION			
	CONOMIC ACTIVITIES			İ
D.A.L.	CONOIVIIC ACTIVITIES			
	T	T	_	
DA_	Most important economic activity for	1. Agriculture	7	46.67
1a	men in this community	2. Cattle rearing	0	0.00
		3. Unskilled labour	4	26.67
		4. Skilled labour (business)	1	6.67
		5. Skilled labour (government)	2	13.33
		6. Skilled labour	1	6.67
		7. House work	0	0.00
		8. Unemployed/student	0	0.00
		9. Retired	0	0.00
1		Total	15	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
DA_	Second most important economic	1. Agriculture	0	0.00
1b	activity for men in this community	2. Cattle rearing	0	0.00
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3. Unskilled labour	2	14.29
		4. Skilled labour (business)	5	35.71
		5. Skilled labour (government)	4	28.57
		6. Skilled labour	3	21.43
		7. House work	0	0.00
		8. Unemployed/student	0	0.00
		9. Retired	0	0.00
		Total	14	100.00
		Total		200.00
DA_	Third most important economic	1. Agriculture	0	0.00
1c _	activity for men in this community	2. Cattle rearing	2	15.38
	,	3. Unskilled labour	7	53.85
		4. Skilled labour (business)	1	7.69
		5. Skilled labour (government)	1	7.69
		6. Skilled labour	1	7.69
		7. House work	0	0.00
		8. Unemployed/student	0	0.00
		9. Retired	1	7.69
		Total	13	100.00
		1.00		
DA_	Most important economic activity for	1. Agriculture	1	6.67
2a	women in this community	2. Cattle rearing	0	0.00
	literine in a ne community	3. Unskilled labour	3	20.00
		4. Skilled labour (business)	3	20.00
		5. Skilled labour (government)	1	6.67
		6. Skilled labour	2	13.33
		7. House work	5	35.71
		8. Unemployed/student	0	0.00
		9. Retired	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
	•			
DA_	Second most important economic	1. Agriculture	3	21.43
2b	i i	2. Cattle rearing	0	0.00
	activity for women in this community	3. Unskilled labour	3	21.43
		4. Skilled labour (business)	5	35.71
		5. Skilled labour (government)	1	7.14
		6. Skilled labour	2	14.29
		7. House work	0	0.00
		8. Unemployed/student	0	0.00
		9. Retired	0	0.00
		Total	14	100.00
		Total		100.00
DA_	Third most important economic	1. Agriculture	1	9.09
2c	activity for women in this community	2. Cattle rearing	2	18.18
	The state of the s	3. Unskilled labour	5	45.45
		4. Skilled labour (business)	2	18.18
		4. Skilled labour (business) 5. Skilled labour (government)	2 0	18.18 0.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		7. House work	0	0.00
		8. Unemployed/student	0	0.00
		9. Retired	0	0.00
		Total	11	100.00
DA_	Most important economic activity for	1. Agriculture	1	8.33
3a	children aged below 12 in this	2. Cattle rearing	4	33.33
	community	3. Unskilled labour	4	33.33
		4. Skilled labour (business)	0	0.00
		5. Skilled labour (government)	0	0.00
		6. Skilled labour	2	16.67
		7. House work	0	0.00
		8. Unemployed/student	1	8.33
		9. Retired	0	0.00
		Total	12	100.00
	Ta	Ta a		11.00
DA_	Second most important economic	1. Agriculture	1	14.29
3b	activity for children aged below 12 in	2. Cattle rearing	0	0.00
	this community	3. Unskilled labour	4	57.14
		4. Skilled labour (business)	0	0.00
		5. Skilled labour (government)	0	0.00
		6. Skilled labour	1	14.29
		7. House work	1	14.29
		8. Unemployed/student	0	0.00
		9. Retired	0	0.00
		Total	7	100.00
DA_	Third most important economic	1. Agriculture	1	9.09
3c	activity for children aged below 12 in	2. Cattle rearing	2	18.18
30		3. Unskilled labour	5	45.45
	this community	4. Skilled labour (business)	2	18.18
		5. Skilled labour (government)	0	0.00
		6. Skilled labour	1	9.09
		7. House work	0	0.00
		8. Unemployed/student	0	0.00
		9. Retired	0	0.00
		Total	11	100.00
DA_	Most important economic activity for	1. Agriculture	4	30.77
4a	children aged between 12 and 18 in	2. Cattle rearing	1	7.69
	this community	3. Unskilled labour	5	38.46
	<i>'</i>	4. Skilled labour (business)	0	0.00
		5. Skilled labour (government)	0	0.00
		6. Skilled labour	3	23.08
		7. House work	0	0.00
		8. Unemployed/student	0	0.00
		9. Retired	0	0.00
		Total	13	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
DA_	Second most important economic	1. Agriculture	2	20.00
4b	activity for children aged between 12	2. Cattle rearing	0	0.00
	and 18 in this community	3. Unskilled labour	5	50.00
	·	4. Skilled labour (business)	1	10.00
		5. Skilled labour (government)	0	0.00
		6. Skilled labour	2	20.00
		7. House work	0	0.00
		8. Unemployed/student	0	0.00
		9. Retired	0	0.00
		Total	10	100.00
DA_	Third most important economic	1. Agriculture	2	28.58
4c	activity for children aged between 12	2. Cattle rearing	0	0.00
	and 18 in this community	3. Unskilled labour	5	71.43
		4. Skilled labour (business)	0	0.00
		5. Skilled labour (government)	0	0.00
		6. Skilled labour	0	0.00
		7. House work	0	0.00
		8. Unemployed/student	0	0.00
		9. Retired	0	0.00
		Total	7	100.00

D B W	ORKING AGE			
D.B W	ORRING AGE			
DB_1	Average age at which males start working full time	n = 15 Range 6 - 25	M = 16.67	SD = 4.94
DB_2	Average age at which females start working full time	n = 15 Range 5 - 23	M = 15.00	SD = 4.90
DD 3	Number of males your genthers 10	1. Almost none		42.86
DB_3	Number of males younger than 18	2. Less than half of them	6 8	42.86 57.14
	that are currently engaged in paid	3. Around half of them		0.00
		4. More than half of them	0	0.00
			0	
		5. Almost all of them	0	0.00
		Total	14	100.00
DB 4	Number of females younger than 18	1. Almost none	7	53.85
	that are currently engaged in paid	2. Less than half of them	6	46.15
	employment	3. Around half of them	0	0.00
	employment	4. More than half of them	0	0.00
		5. Almost all of them	0	0.00
		Total	13	100.00
			•	•
DB_5	Number of males younger than 12	1. Almost none	13	92.86
	that are currently engaged in paid	2. Less than half of them	1	7.14
	employment	3. Around half of them	0	0.00
		4. More than half of them	0	0.00
		5. Almost all of them	0	0.00
		Total	14	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
DB 6	Number of females younger than 12	1. Almost none	14	100.00
-	that are currently engaged in paid	2. Less than half of them	0	0.00
	employment	3. Around half of them	0	0.00
		4. More than half of them	0	0.00
		5. Almost all of them	0	0.00
		Total	14	100.00
D. C. E	EMPLOYMENT			
DC_1	Rating of availability of employment in	1. Very low	7	46.67
	this community	2. Low	5	33.33
		3. Medium	1	6.67
		4. High	2	13.33
		5. Very high	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
DC 3	Change of availability of employment	1. Worsened a lot	5	33.33
DC_2	in the past five years	2. Worsened	0	0.00
	In the past live years	3. Stayed the same	2	13.33
		4. Improved	8	53.33
		5. Improved a lot	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
DD 1	Description of acanomic situation in	1. They are finding it you difficult	2	20.00
DD_1	Description of economic situation in	1. They are finding it very difficult	3	20.00
	most households in this community	2. They are finding it difficult	4	26.67
		3. They are coping (neutral)	6	40.00
		4. They are living comfortably	2	13.33
		5. They are living very comfortably	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
DD 3	Living conditions in this community	1. Much worse than in other	1	6.67
DD_2	compared to neighbouring	communitys	1	0.07
	communitys	2. Worse than in other communitys	2	13.33
	Communitys	3. The same as in other communitys	7	46.67
		4. Better than in other communitys	5	33.33
		5. Much better than in other	0	0.00
		communitys	J	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
DD_3	Change in living conditions in this	1. Worsened a lot	3	20.00
	community compared to five years	2. Worsened	2	13.33
	ago	3. Stayed the same	3	20.00
		4. Improved	6	40.00
		5. Improved a lot	1	6.67
		Total	15	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
D.E D	IFFERENCES BETWEEN community M	EMBERS		
	•			
DE_1	Differences in education	1. Not at all	3	20.00
_1		2. A little bit	4	26.67
		3. Somewhat	1	6.67
		4. Much	3	20.00
		5. Very much	4	26.67
		Total	15	100.00
	1	Tarana and		
DE_1	Differences in wealth/ material	1. Not at all	5	33.33
_2	possessions	2. A little bit	2	13.33
		3. Somewhat	3	20.00
		4. Much	2	13.33
		5. Very much	3	20.00
		Total	15	100.00
DF 1	Differences in landholdings	1. Not at all	5	33.33
3	Differences in failuffoldings	2. A little bit	1	6.67
-		3. Somewhat	0	0.00
		4. Much	3	20.00
		5. Very much	6	40.00
		Total	15	100.00
		1.030.	1	
DE_1	Differences in social status (class)	1. Not at all	6	40.00
_4		2. A little bit	3	20.00
		3. Somewhat	4	26.67
		4. Much	0	0.00
		5. Very Much	2	13.33
		Total	15	100.00
DE_1	Differences in employment	1. Not at all	6	40.00
_5		2. A little bit	1	6.67
		3. Somewhat	1	6.67
		4. Much	4	26.67
		5. Very much	3	20.00
		Total	15	100.00

DE_1 Dif	ferences in food security	1. Not at all	2	13.33
_6		2. A little bit	3	20.00
		3. Somewhat	5	33.33
		4. Much	3	20.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		5. Very much	2	13.33
		Total	15	100.00
DE_1	Differences in religion	1. Not at all	6	40.00
_7		2. A little bit	5	33.33
		3. Somewhat	2	13.33
		4. Much	0	0.00
		5. Very much	2	13.33
		Total	15	100.00
DE_1	Differences in ethnicity	Not at all	8	53.33
_8		A little bit	2	13.33
		Somewhat	2	13.33
		Much	1	6.67
		Very much	2	13.33
		Total	15	100.00
			1	
DE_2	Number of different ethnic groups	n = 10 Range 2 - 9	M = 4.3	
	living in this community			
	la			04.00
DF_3	Relative size of the ethnic groups living		9	81.82
	in this community	than the other(s)		40.40
		2. One group is a little bit larger than	2	18.18
		3. All groups are more or less the	0	0.00
		same size	0	0.00
		4. Other	0	0.00
		Total	11	100.00
DE 1	Relationships between people from	1. Bad	0	0.00
DL_4		2. Neutral	0	0.00
	different ethnic groups in this	3. Good	6	50.00
	community	4. Very good	6	50.00
		Total	12	100.00
		Total	12	100.00
E. SHO	ncks			
Enviro	onmental/ ecological shocks			
F 1 1	Shocks in the past five years - drought	1 Ves	6	40.00
	Shocks in the past live years - drought	2. No	9	60.00
		Total	15	100.00
	<u> </u>	1.0.01	1	100.00
E_1_	Drought in 2006	1. Yes	3	50.00
1_20	O	2. No	3	50.00
		Total	6	100.00
E_1_	Drought in 2007	1. Yes	2	33.33
1_20	5	2. No	4	66.67
		Ī	6	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
E_1_	Drought in 2008	1. Yes	4	66.67
1_20		2. No	2	33.33
		Total	6	100.00
	T	1		
E_1_	Drought in 2009	1. Yes	4	66.67
1_20		2. No	2	33.33
		Total	6	100.00
	In	Ta v	1 -	22.22
E_1_	Drought in 2010	1. Yes	2	33.33
1_20		2. No	4	66.67
		Total	6	100.00
F 1 2	Shocks in the past five years - too	1. Yes	5	33.33
	much rain or flood	2. No	10	66.67
		Total	15	100.00
		Total	1.5	100.00
E_1_	Too much rain or flood in 2006	1. Yes	1	20.00
2_20		2. No	4	80.00
		Total	5	100.00
	!	1		
E_1_	Too much rain or flood in 2007	1. Yes	0	0.00
2_20		2. No	5	100.00
		Total	5	100.00
		•	•	
E_1_	Too much rain or flood in 2008	1. Yes	2	40.00
2_20		2. No	3	60.00
_		Total	5	100.00
E_1_	Too much rain or flood in 2009	1. Yes	1	20.00
2_20		2. No	4	80.00
		Total	5	100.00
			•	
E_1_	Too much rain or flood in 2010	1. Yes	3	60.00
2_20		2. No	2	40.00
		Total	5	100.00
F 4 3	Ich and a state of the state of	Ia V	1 2	12.22
E_1_3	Shocks in the past five years - pests or	1. Yes	2	13.33
	diseases that affected crops or	2. No	13	86.67
	livestock	Total	15	100.00
E_1_	Pests or diseases in 2006	1. Yes	0	0.00
3_20	1 6565 01 41364365 111 2000	2. No	2	100.00
3_20		Total	2	100.00
	<u> </u>	1.000.		100.00
E_1_	Pests or diseases in 2007	1. Yes	0	0.00
3_20		2. No	2	100.00

E_1_ Pests or diseases in 2008 1. Yes 1 50.00 2 100.00	Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
2. No	-				
Total			2. No	1	
2. No	_		Total	2	
2. No					
Total 2 100.00	E_1_	Pests or diseases in 2009	1. Yes	0	0.00
Total 2 100.00	3_20		2. No	2	100.00
2. No			Total	2	100.00
2. No		-		-	
Total 2 100.00	E_1_	Pests or diseases in 2010	1. Yes	1	50.00
Economic/ market shocks E_1_4 Shocks in the past five years - very low 1. Yes 2. No 9 60.00 Total 15 100.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return 1. Yes 3 50.00 4_20 in 2006 7 7 7 7 4_20 in 2007 7 7 7 4_20 in 2007 7 7 4_20 in 2008 7 7 4_20 in 2008 7 7 4_20 in 2008 7 7 4_20 in 2009 7 7 4_20 in 2010 7 7 4_21 7 7 7 4_220 in 2010 7 7 4_23 7 7 7 4_4_24 7 7 7 5 7 7 7 7 5 7 7 7 7 5 7 7 5 7 7 5 7	3_20		2. No	1	
E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return			Total	2	100.00
E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return					
business/ agricultural return	Econo	mic/ market shocks			
business/ agricultural return	E_1_4	Shocks in the past five years - very low	1. Yes	6	40.00
Total				9	60.00
A_20 in 2006 2. No 3 50.00		, 0	Total	15	100.00
A_20 in 2006 2. No 3 50.00			•		
Total 6 100.00	E_1_	Very low business/ agricultural return	1. Yes	3	50.00
E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return 1. Yes 2. No 3 50.00 100.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return 1. Yes 4 66.67 4_20 in 2008 2. No 2 33.33 50.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return 1. Yes 4 66.67 4_20 in 2008 6 100.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return 1. Yes 3 50.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return 1. Yes 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return 1. Yes 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return 1. Yes 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return 1. Yes 3 50.00 Total 12 80.00 Total 15 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 2 16.67 5_20 prices in 2006 1. Yes 3 3.33 Total 12 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 3 3.33 Total 12 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 3 25.00 Total 12 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 3 25.00 Total 12 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 3 25.00 Total 12 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 3 25.00 Total 12 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 3 25.00 Total 1. Yes 3 25	4_20	in 2006	2. No	3	50.00
4_20 in 2007 2. No Total 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_ 4_20 Very low business/ agricultural return in 2. Yes 2. No 2 33.33 4 66.67 4_20 10.000 2 33.33 Total 6 100.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return in 2. Yes 2. No 3 50.00 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return in 2010 1. Yes 3 50.00 E_1_ Total 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_ Shocks in the past five years - large increase consumption goods prices 2. No 3 20.00 1. Yes 3 20.00 Total 15 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods prices in 2006 1. Yes 2 16.67 5_20 prices in 2006 2. No 700 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 7. Yes 2. No 700 3 25.00 5_20 prices in 2007 2. No 9 75.00			Total	6	100.00
4_20 in 2007 2. No Total 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_ 4_20 Very low business/ agricultural return in 2. Yes 2. No 2 33.33 4 66.67 4_20 10.000 2 33.33 Total 6 100.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return in 2. Yes 2. No 3 50.00 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return in 2010 1. Yes 3 50.00 E_1_ Total 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_ Shocks in the past five years - large increase consumption goods prices 2. No 3 20.00 1. Yes 3 20.00 Total 15 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods prices in 2006 1. Yes 2 16.67 5_20 prices in 2006 2. No 700 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 7. Yes 2. No 700 3 25.00 5_20 prices in 2007 2. No 9 75.00					
Total 6 100.00	E_1_	Very low business/ agricultural return	1. Yes	3	50.00
E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return 4_20 in 2008 1. Yes 2. No 2 33.33 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return in 1. Yes 2. No 3 50.00 3 50.00 4_20 in 2009 3 50.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return in 1. Yes 2. No 3 50.00 3 50.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return in 1. Yes 2. No 3 50.00 3 50.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return in 2. Yes 3 50.00 3 50.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return in 2. Yes 3 50.00 3 50.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return in 2. Yes 3 50.00 3 50.00 2. No 3 50.00 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_ Lage increase consumption goods prices in 2006 1. Yes 2. No 2	4_20	in 2007	2. No	3	50.00
4_20 in 2008 2. No 2 33.33 6 100.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return 1. Yes 2. No 3 50.00 3 50.00 4_20 in 2009 Total 6 100.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return 1. Yes 3 50.00 3 50.00 4_20 in 2010 2. No 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_5 Shocks in the past five years - large increase consumption goods prices 1. Yes 12 80.00 2. No 3 20.00 3 20.00 Total 15 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 2 16.67 5_20 prices in 2006 1. Yes 2 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 2 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 2 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 3 25.00 5_20 prices in 2007 2. No 9 75.00			Total	6	100.00
4_20 in 2008 2. No 2 33.33 6 100.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return 1. Yes 2. No 3 50.00 3 50.00 4_20 in 2009 Total 6 100.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return 1. Yes 3 50.00 3 50.00 4_20 in 2010 2. No 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_5 Shocks in the past five years - large increase consumption goods prices 1. Yes 12 80.00 2. No 3 20.00 3 20.00 Total 15 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 2 16.67 5_20 prices in 2006 1. Yes 2 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 2 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 2 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 3 25.00 5_20 prices in 2007 2. No 9 75.00				1	
Total 6 100.00		Very low business/ agricultural return			
E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return 1. Yes 3 50.00 4.20 in 2009 2. No 3 50.00 6 100.00	4_20	in 2008			
4_20 in 2009 2. No 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return in 2010 1. Yes 3 50.00 4_20 in 2010 2. No 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_5 Shocks in the past five years - large increase consumption goods prices 1. Yes 12 80.00 2. No 3 20.00 20.00 15 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods prices in 2006 1. Yes 2 16.67 5_20 prices in 2006 2. No 12 83.33 Total 12 100.00			Total	6	100.00
4_20 in 2009 2. No 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return in 2010 1. Yes 3 50.00 4_20 in 2010 2. No 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_5 Shocks in the past five years - large increase consumption goods prices 1. Yes 12 80.00 2. No 3 20.00 20.00 15 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods prices in 2006 1. Yes 2 16.67 5_20 prices in 2006 2. No 12 83.33 Total 12 100.00			T		
Total 6 100.00					
E_1_ Very low business/ agricultural return 4.20 in 2010 1. Yes 2. No 3 50.00 E_1_5 Shocks in the past five years - large increase consumption goods prices 1. Yes 12 80.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods prices in 2006 1. Yes 2. No 3 20.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods prices in 2006 1. Yes 2. No 12 83.33 Total 12 83.33 Total 12 83.33 Total 12 100.00	4_20	in 2009			
4_20 in 2010 2. No 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_5 Shocks in the past five years - large increase consumption goods prices 1. Yes 12 80.00 2. No 3 20.00 Total 15 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods prices in 2006 1. Yes 2 16.67 5_20 70 12 83.33 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods prices in 2007 1. Yes 3 25.00 5_20 prices in 2007 2. No 9 75.00			lotai	6	100.00
4_20 in 2010 2. No 3 50.00 Total 6 100.00 E_1_5 Shocks in the past five years - large increase consumption goods prices 1. Yes 12 80.00 2. No 3 20.00 Total 15 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods prices in 2006 1. Yes 2 16.67 5_20 70 12 83.33 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods prices in 2007 1. Yes 3 25.00 5_20 prices in 2007 2. No 9 75.00	E 1	Vary law business / agricultural raturn	1 Vos	2	50.00
Total 6 100.00					
E_1_5 Shocks in the past five years - large increase consumption goods prices 1. Yes 12 80.00 2. No 3 20.00 Total 15 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods prices in 2006 1. Yes 2 16.67 5_20 2. No 12 83.33 Total 12 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods prices in 2007 1. Yes 3 25.00 5_20 prices in 2007 2. No 9 75.00	4_20	111 2010			
Increase consumption goods prices 2. No Total 15 100.00			Total		100.00
Increase consumption goods prices 2. No Total 15 100.00	F 1 5	Shocks in the past five years - large	1 Yes	12	80.00
E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 2 16.67 5_20 prices in 2006 2. No 12 83.33 Total 12 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 3 25.00 5_20 prices in 2007 2. No 9 75.00					
E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 2 16.67 5_20 prices in 2006 2. No 12 83.33 Total 12 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 3 25.00 5_20 prices in 2007 2. No 9 75.00		increase consumption goods prices			
5_20 prices in 2006 2. No 12 83.33 Total 12 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 3 25.00 5_20 prices in 2007 2. No 9 75.00					
5_20 prices in 2006 2. No 12 83.33 Total 12 100.00 E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 3 25.00 5_20 prices in 2007 2. No 9 75.00	E 1	Large increase consumption goods	1. Yes	2	16.67
E_1_ Large increase consumption goods 1. Yes 3 25.00 5_20 prices in 2007 2. No 9 75.00				12	
5_20 prices in 2007 2. No 9 75.00	_				
5_20 prices in 2007 2. No 9 75.00					
5_20 prices in 2007 2. No 9 75.00	E_1_	Large increase consumption goods	1. Yes	3	25.00
= ·				9	
	Ĺ		Total	12	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
E_1_	Large increase consumption goods	1. Yes	5	41.67
5_20	prices in 2008	2. No	7	58.33
		Total	12	100.00
		1.000.		
E_1_	Large increase consumption goods	1. Yes	9	75.00
5_20	prices in 2009	2. No	3	25.00
]	prices iii 2005	Total	12	100.00
		1044		200.00
E_1_	Large increase consumption goods	1. Yes	11	91.67
	prices in 2010	2. No	1	8.33
5_20	prices iii 2010		12	
		Total	12	100.00
F 1 C	Charles in the most five course land	1 1/00	1	C C7
F_1_6	Shocks in the past five years - land	1. Yes	1	6.67
	distribution	2. No	14	93.33
		Total	15	100.00
	T	T		
E_1_	Land distribution in 2006	1. Yes	0	0.00
6_20		2. No	1	100.00
		Total	1	100.00
				•
E_1_	Land distribution in 2007	1. Yes	0	0.00
6_20		2. No	1	100.00
		Total	1	100.00
E_1_	Land distribution in 2008	1. Yes	1	100.00
6_20		2. No	0	0.00
-		Total	1	100.00
E_1_	Land distribution in 2009	1. Yes	1	100.00
6_20		2. No	0	0.00
_		Total	1	100.00
		<u></u>	<u>l</u>	
E_1_	Land distribution in 2010	1. Yes	1	100.00
6_20		2. No	0	0.00
0_20		Total	1	100.00
		Total		100.00
F 1 7	Shocks in the past five years -	1. Yes	1	6.67
/	confiscation of assets	2. No	14	93.33
	confiscation of assets			100.00
		Total	15	100.00
F 4	Configuration of assets in 2000	1 Voc		100.00
E_1_	Confiscation of assets in 2006	1. Yes	1	100.00
7_20		2. No	0	0.00
		Total	1	100.00
	T	T	Г	
E_1_	Confiscation of assets in 2007	1. Yes	1	100.00
7_20		2. No	0	0.00
		Total	1	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
E_1_	Confiscation of assets in 2008	1. Yes	1	100.00
7_20		2. No	0	0.00
		Total	1	100.00
E_1_	Confiscation of assets in 2009	1. Yes	1	100.00
7_20		2. No	0	0.00
		Total	1	100.00
	-	•		
E_1_	Confiscation of assets in 2010	1. Yes	1	100.00
7_20		2. No	0	0.00
_		Total	1	100.00
Theft/	′ crime			
		T	1	
E_1_8	Shocks in the past five years - large-	1. Yes	1	6.67
	scale theft of crops or livestock	2. No	14	93.33
		Total	15	100.00
		_		
E_1_	Large-scale theft of crops or livestock	1. Yes	1	100.00
8_20	in 2006	2. No	0	0.00
		Total	1	100.00
E_1_	Large-scale theft of crops or livestock	1. Yes	1	100.00
8_20	in 2007	2. No	0	0.00
		Total	1	100.00
E_1_	Large-scale theft of crops or livestock	1. Yes	1	100.00
8_20	in 2008	2. No	0	0.00
		Total	1	100.00
E_1_	Large-scale theft of crops or livestock	1. Yes	0	0.00
8_20	in 2009	2. No	1	100.00
		Total	1	100.00
		_		
E_1_	Large-scale theft of crops or livestock	1. Yes	0	0.00
8_20	in 2010	2. No	1	100.00
		Total	1	100.00
			1	
E_1_9	Shocks in the past five years - large-	1. Yes	1	6.67
	scale theft or destruction of housing	2. No	14	93.33
<u> </u>		Total	15	100.00
F 4	Laura and that as the second	1 Voc	4	100.00
E_1_	Large-scale theft or destruction of	1. Yes	1	100.00
9_20	housing in 2006	2. No	0	0.00
	l	Total	1	100.00
E 1	Large-scale theft or destruction of	1. Yes	1	100.00
E_1_	_	2. No		0.00
9_20	housing in 2007		0	
<u> </u>		Total	1	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
E_1_	Large-scale theft or destruction of	1. Yes	1	100.00
	housing in 2008	2. No	0	0.00
		Total	1	100.00
		•		•
E_1_	Large-scale theft or destruction of	1. Yes	1	100.00
9_20	housing in 2009	2. No	0	0.00
_		Total	1	100.00
	•	-	-	
E_1_	Large-scale theft or destruction of	1. Yes	1	100.00
9_20	housing in 2010	2. No	0	0.00
		Total	1	100.00
	•	-	-	•
E_1	Shocks in the past five years - large-	1. Yes	0	0.00
_10	scale theft or destruction of other	2. No	15	100.00
	assets	Total	15	100.00
		•	•	-
E_1_	Large-scale theft or destruction of	1. Yes	NA	NA
10_	other assets in 2006	2. No	NA	NA
2006		Total	NA	NA
E_1_	Large-scale theft or destruction of	1. Yes	NA	NA
10_	other assets in 2007	2. No	NA	NA
2007		Total	NA	NA
	T	T		1
	Large-scale theft or destruction of	1. Yes	NA	NA
10_	other assets in 2008	2. No	NA	NA
2008		Total	NA NA	NA
	h	1 V	L	NIA
	Large-scale theft or destruction of	1. Yes	NA NA	NA NA
10_	other assets in 2009	2. No	NA	NA
2009		Total	NA	NA
E_1_	Large-scale theft or destruction of	1. Yes	NA	NA
10_	other assets in 2010	2. No	NA NA	NA NA
2010		Total	NA NA	NA NA
2010		Total	Į IVA	IVA
E_1_	Shocks in the past five years - large-	1. Yes	2	13.33
11	scale land disputes	2. No	3	86.67
	searc rarra disputes	Total	15	100.00
		1.2		
E_1_	Large-scale land disputes in 2006	1. Yes	2	100.00
11_		2. No	0	0.00
2006		Total	2	100.00
	•		l .	
E_1_	Large-scale land disputes in 2007	1. Yes	1	50.00
11	, ,	2. No	1	50.00
_2007	1	Total	2	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent		
E_1_	Large-scale land disputes in 2008	1. Yes	1	50.00		
11_2		2. No	1	50.00		
		Total	2	100.00		
E_1_	Large-scale land disputes in 2009	1. Yes	1	50.00		
11_		2. No	1	50.00		
2009		Total	2	100.00		
E_1_	large-scale land disputes in 2010	1. Yes	1	50.00		
11_		2. No	1	50.00		
2010		Total	2	100.00		
F 2 C	auflict avecuiouses					
	onflict experiences					
Death	of community members					
E_2_	During the last period of conflict -	1. Yes	2	13.33		
1	prevalence of death of at least 10 % of		13	86.67		
	community members due to disease	Total	15	100.00		
	<u> </u>	L				
E_2_	During the last period of conflict -	1. Yes	0	0.00		
2	'	2. No	14	100.00		
	community members due to crime/	Total	14	100.00		
-	conflict					
Migra	tion					
Iviigia	uon					
E_2_	During the last period of conflict -	1. Yes	2	13.33		
3		2. No	13	86.67		
	at least 10 % of community members	Total	15	100.00		
	at least 10 % of community members					
E_2_	During the last period of conflict -	1. Yes	1	7.14		
4	prevalence of large inflows of refugees	2. No	13	92.86		
		Total	14	100.00		
			•			
Confli	ct					
E_2_	During the last period of conflict -	1. Yes	0	0.00		
5	prevalence of attacks by rebel groups	2. No	14	100.00		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Total	14	100.00		
E_2_	During the last period of conflict -	1. Yes	0	0.00		
6	prevalence of confrontations between	2. No	15	100.00		
	rebels and the army	Total	15	100.00		
E_2_	During the last period of conflict -	1. Yes	0	0.00		
7	prevalence of large scale internal	2. No	15	100.00		
	conflict	Total	15	100.00		

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
F. SAF	ETY AND SECURITY			
F 1 1	Problems of gang/ rebel groups in the	1. Yes	1	6.67
	community	2. No	14	93.33
	,	Total	15	100.00
			•	
F_1_2	Problems of drug abuse in the	1. Yes	6	40.00
	community	2. No	9	60.00
		Total	15	100.00
F_1_3	Problems of alcohol abuse in the	1. Yes	7	46.67
	community	2. No	8	53.33
		Total	15	100.00
	T	T	T _	
F_1_4	Problems of prostitution in the	1. Yes	6	40.00
	community	2. No	9	60.00
	<u> </u>	Total	15	100.00
- 4 -	Builting the date of the	Ia va	1 2	20.00
F_1_5	Problems of land disputes in the	1. Yes	3	20.00
	community	2. No	12	80.00
		Total	15	100.00
E 1 6	Droblems of water disputes in the	1. Yes	2	13.33
L_1_0	Problems of water disputes in the	2. No	13	86.67
	community	Total	15	100.00
		Total	13	100.00
F 1 7	Problems of disputes on housing in	1. Yes	2	13.33
	the community	2. No	13	86.67
	the community	Total	15	100.00
			1	
F 1 8	Problems of thefts/ assaults in the	1. Yes	7	46.67
	community	2. No	8	53.33
	,	Total	15	100.00
F 1 9	Problems of child abuse in the	1. Yes	2	13.33
	community	2. No	13	86.67
	,	Total	15	100.00
F_1_	Problems of sexual assaults/ rape in	1. Yes	3	20.00
10	the community	2. No	2	80.00
		Total	15	100.00
		T		
F_2	Rating of level of overall security of	1. Very bad	0	0.00
	this community	2. Bad	1	6.67
		3. Medium	4	26.67
		4. Good	3	20.00
		5. Very Good	7	46.67
		Total	15	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
	Overall level of security of this	1. Much lower than in other	1	6.67
F_3	community compared to neighbouring			
	communities	2. Lower than in other communities	2	13.33
		3. The same as in other communities	4	26.67
		4. Higher than in other communities	8	53.33
		5. Much higher than in other	0	0.00
		communities		
		Total	15	100.00
				1
F_4	Overall level of security in this	1. Worsened a lot	0	0.00
	community compared to five years	2. Worsened	2	13.33
	ago	3. Stayed the same	2	13.33
		4. Improved	9	60.00
		5. Improved a lot	2	13.33
		Total	15	100.00
	TRUST AND PARTICIPATION	1 Vorylow	1	6 67
GA_1	Level of trust between community	1. Very low	1	6.67
	members in this community	2. Low	1	6.67
		3. Average	5	33.33
		4. High	5	33.33
		5. Very high	3	20.00
		Total	15	100.00
CA 2	loha ara ta da da ala fara ar had	4. Navele vocas	1	C C7
GA_Z	Change in the level of trust between	1. Much worse 2. Worse	1	6.67
	community in the last five years	3. The same	3	20.00
		4. Better	3 6	20.00 40.00
		5. Much better	2	13.33
		Total	15	100.00
		1000		100.00
	Level of trust between community	1. Much lower than in other	0	0.00
GA_3	members compared to neighbouring	communities		
_	communities	2. Lower than in other communities	1	6.67
		3. The same as in other communities	7	46.67
		4. Higher than in other communities	7	46.67
		5. Much higher than in other	0	0.00
		communities		
		Total	15	100.00
			ı	1
GA_4	Spirit of participation in this	1. Very low	1	6.67
	community	2. Low	0	0.00
		3. Average	3	20.00
		4.High	6	40.00

	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		5. Very high	5	33.33
		Total	15	100.00
	•			
GA_5	Change in spirit of participation in the	1. Much worse	1	6.67
	past five years	2. Worse	1	6.67
		3. the same	2	13.33
		4. Better	8	53.33
		5. Much better	3	20.00
		Total	15	100.00
		•	-	
	Spirit of participation compared to	1. Much lower than in other	1	6.67
GA_6	neighbouring communities	communities		
		2. Lower than in other communities	0	0.00
		3. The same as in other communities	5	33.33
		4. Higher than in other communities	8	53.33
		5. Much higher than in other	1	6.67
		communities		
		Total	15	100.00
GA_7	Trust between people in this	1. No trust at all	2	13.33
	community in matters of lending and	2. Little trust	2	13.33
	borrowing	3. Neutral	0	0.00
		4. Some trust	3	20.00
		5. A lot of trust	8	20.00 53.33
G.B A	SSOCIATIONS	5. A lot of trust	8	53.33
G.B A	SSOCIATIONS	5. A lot of trust	8	53.33
	SSOCIATIONS Currently present in the community -	5. A lot of trust	8	53.33
		5. A lot of trust Total	8 15	53.33 100.00
GB_1	Currently present in the community -	5. A lot of trust Total 1. Yes	8 15	53.33 100.00 6.67
GB_1	Currently present in the community -	5. A lot of trust Total 1. Yes 2. No Total	1 14	53.33 100.00 6.67 93.33
GB_1 _1	Currently present in the community -	5. A lot of trust Total 1. Yes 2. No	1 14	53.33 100.00 6.67 93.33
GB_1 _1	Currently present in the community - water/waste or fishermen's group	5. A lot of trust Total 1. Yes 2. No Total	1 14 15	53.33 100.00 6.67 93.33 100.00
GB_1 _1 GB_1	Currently present in the community - water/waste or fishermen's group Currently present in the community -	1. Yes 2. No Total 1. Yes	1 14 15	53.33 100.00 6.67 93.33 100.00
GB_1 _1 GB_1	Currently present in the community - water/waste or fishermen's group Currently present in the community -	1. Yes 2. No Total 1. Yes 2. No Total	1 14 15 8 7	53.33 100.00 6.67 93.33 100.00 53.33 46.67
GB_1 _1 GB_1	Currently present in the community - water/waste or fishermen's group Currently present in the community - agricultural cooperative	1. Yes 2. No Total 1. Yes 2. No Total	1 14 15 8 7	53.33 100.00 6.67 93.33 100.00 53.33 46.67
GB_1 _1 GB_1 _2	Currently present in the community - water/waste or fishermen's group Currently present in the community - agricultural cooperative	1. Yes 2. No Total 1. Yes 2. No Total 1. Yes 7. Total	1 14 15 8 7 15	53.33 100.00 6.67 93.33 100.00 53.33 46.67 100.00
GB_1 _1 GB_1 _2 GB_1	Currently present in the community - water/waste or fishermen's group Currently present in the community - agricultural cooperative Currently present in the community -	1. Yes 2. No Total 1. Yes 2. No Total 1. Yes 2. No Total 1. Yes	1 14 15 8 7 15 5	53.33 100.00 6.67 93.33 100.00 53.33 46.67 100.00
GB_1 _1 GB_1 _2 GB_1	Currently present in the community - water/waste or fishermen's group Currently present in the community - agricultural cooperative Currently present in the community -	1. Yes 2. No Total	1 14 15 8 7 15 5 10	53.33 100.00 6.67 93.33 100.00 53.33 46.67 100.00
GB_1 _1 GB_1 _2 GB_1	Currently present in the community - water/waste or fishermen's group Currently present in the community - agricultural cooperative Currently present in the community -	1. Yes 2. No Total	1 14 15 8 7 15 5 10	53.33 100.00 6.67 93.33 100.00 53.33 46.67 100.00
GB_1 _1 GB_1 _2 GB_1 _3	Currently present in the community - water/waste or fishermen's group Currently present in the community - agricultural cooperative Currently present in the community - trader's association or business group	1. Yes 2. No Total	8 15 1 1 14 15 8 7 15 5 10 15	53.33 100.00 6.67 93.33 100.00 53.33 46.67 100.00
GB_1 _2 GB_1 _3 GB_1 _3 GB_1	Currently present in the community - water/waste or fishermen's group Currently present in the community - agricultural cooperative Currently present in the community - trader's association or business group Currently present in the community -	1. Yes 2. No Total 8 15 1 1 14 15 8 7 15 10 15	53.33 100.00 6.67 93.33 100.00 53.33 46.67 100.00 73.33	
GB_1 _2 GB_1 _3 GB_1 _3 GB_1	Currently present in the community - water/waste or fishermen's group Currently present in the community - agricultural cooperative Currently present in the community - trader's association or business group Currently present in the community -	1. Yes 2. No Total 8 15 1 1 14 15 8 7 15 10 15 11 4	53.33 100.00 6.67 93.33 100.00 53.33 46.67 100.00 73.33 26.67	
GB_1 _2 GB_1 _3 GB_1 _3 GB_1	Currently present in the community - water/waste or fishermen's group Currently present in the community - agricultural cooperative Currently present in the community - trader's association or business group Currently present in the community -	1. Yes 2. No Total 8 15 1 1 14 15 8 7 15 10 15 11 4	53.33 100.00 6.67 93.33 100.00 53.33 46.67 100.00 73.33 26.67	
GB_1 _1 GB_1 _2 GB_1 _3 GB_1 _4	Currently present in the community - water/waste or fishermen's group Currently present in the community - agricultural cooperative Currently present in the community - trader's association or business group Currently present in the community - credit or savings association	1. Yes 2. No Total 8 15 1 14 15 8 7 15 5 10 15 11 4 15	53.33 100.00 6.67 93.33 100.00 53.33 46.67 100.00 73.33 26.67 100.00	

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
	Currently present in the community -	1. Yes	12	80.00
6	religious group of organization	2. No	3	20.00
_	(church/ mosque)	Total	15	100.00
	Henrich mosque			
GB_1	Currently present in the community -	1. yes	13	88.86
_7	political party or group	2. No	2	13.33
_		Total	15	100.00
			-	
GB_1	Currently present in the community -	1. Yes	7	46.67
_8	sport, recreational, art, or music group	2. No	8	53.33
		Total	15	100.00
			-	
GB_1	Currently present in the community -	1. Yes	15	100.00
_9	women's group or youth group	2. No	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
GB_1	Currently present in the community -	1. Yes	13	86.67
_10	school or health committee	2. No	2	13.33
		Total	15	100.00
GB_1	Currently present in the community -	1. Yes	1	6.67
_11	labour union	2. No	14	93.33
		Total	15	100.00
	Currently present in the community -	1. Yes	6	40.00
_12	humanitarian or charitable	2. No	9	60.00
	organization/ NGO	Total	15	100.00
	T	T	1	
GB_1	Currently present in the community -	1. Yes	5	33.33
_13	justice or reconciliation association	2. No	10	66.67
		Total	15	100.00
	I	I	1	-0
65. 6	Number of people engaged in water,	1. Almost all of the community	1	50.00
GB_2	waste or fishermen's group	members		0.00
_1		2. More than half of the community	0	0.00
		3. Around half of the community	0	0.00
		members		0.00
		4. Less than half of the community	0	0.00
		5. Almost no community members	1	50.00
	l	Total	2	100.00
	Number of poorle	1 Almondo all of the annual to		22.22
CP 2	Number of people engaged in	1. Almost all of the community	3	33.33
GB_2 2	agricultural cooperative	members	1	22.22
		2. More than half of the community	2	22.22
		3. Around half of the community	0	0.00
		members		

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		4. Less than half of the community	3	33.33
		members		
		5. Almost no community members	1	11.11
		Total	9	100.00
-	In	la at the surface to	1 0	0.00
CD 2	Number of people engaged in trader's	1. Almost all of the community	0	0.00
GB_2	association or business group	members	0	0.00
_3		2. More than half of the community members	0	0.00
-3		3. Around half of the community	1	20.00
		members	1	20.00
		4. Less than half of the community	4	80.00
		5. Almost no community members	0	0.00
		Total	5	100.00
			•	
	Number of people engaged in credit or	1. Almost all of the community	0	0.00
GB_2	savings association	members		
_4		2. More than half of the community	0	0.00
		3. Around half of the community	3	27.27
		members		
		4. Less than half of the community	8	72.73
		5. Almost no community members Total	0 11	0.00 100.00
		Total	11	100.00
	Number of people engaged in	1. Almost all of the community	11	78.57
GB_2	burial/funeral association	members		70.57
_5	20.10.7.0.10.0.0.0.00.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0	2. More than half of the community	1	7.14
_		3. Around half of the community	0	0.00
		members		
		4. Less than half of the community	2	14.29
		5. Almost no community members	0	0.00
		Total	14	100.00
	-	-		
	Number of people engaged in religious	-	5	41.67
GB_2	group or organization (church,	members		
_6	mosque)	2. More than half of the community	2	16.67
		3. Around half of the community4. Less than half of the community	3 2	25.00 16.67
		5. Almost no community members	0	0.00
		Total	12	100.00
		1.010.		100.00
	Number of people engaged in political	1. Almost all of the community	3	23.08
GB_2	party or group	members		
_7		2. More than half of the community	2	15.38
		3. Around half of the community	2	15.38
		members		
		4. Less than half of the community	6	46.15
		members		
		5. Almost no community members	0	0.00
		Total	13	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
			1	
	Number of people engaged in sport,	1. Almost all of the community	1	12.50
GB_2	recreational, art, or music group	members	0	0.00
_8		2. More than half of the community	0	0.00
-°		members 3. Around half of the community	0	0.00
		members	O O	0.00
		4. Less than half of the community	6	75.00
		members		
		5. Almost no community members	1	12.50
		Total	8	100.00
			1	
	Number of people engaged in	1. Almost all of the community	0	0.00
GB_2	women's group or youth group	members	4	6.67
_9		2. More than half of the community3. Around half of the community	1	6.67 26.67
		4. Less than half of the community	4 10	66.67
		members	10	00.07
		5. Almost no community members	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
			•	
	Number of people engaged in school	1. Almost all of the community	0	0.00
GB_2	or health committee	members		
_10		2. More than half of the community	1	7.69
		3. Around half of the community	1	7.69
		members	10	76.00
		4. Less than half of the community members	10	76.92
		5. Almost no community members	1	7.69
		Total	13	100.00
	Number of people engaged in labour	1. Almost all of the community	0	0.00
GB_2	union	members		
_11		2. More than half of the community	0	0.00
		3. Around half of the community	0	0.00
		members		
		4. Less than half of the community	1	50.00
		members 5. Almost no community members	1	50.00
		Total	2	100.00
		1000		100.00
	Number of people engaged in	1. Almost all of the community	0	0.00
GB_2	humanitarian or charitable	members		
_12	organization	2. More than half of the community	0	0.00
		3. Around half of the community	0	0.00
		members		
		4. Less than half of the community	6	85.71
		members		14.30
		5. Almost no community members	1 7	14.29
		Total	/	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
	<u> </u>	T	1 .	
CD 3	Number of people engaged in justice	1. Almost all of the community	1	20.00
GB_2 13	or reconciliation association	members 2. More than half of the community	1	20.00
_13		3. Around half of the community	1 1	20.00
		members	_	20.00
		4. Less than half of the community	2	40.00
		members	_	10.00
		5. Almost no community members	0	0.00
		Total	5	100.00
			-	
н. сні	LDREN			
H 1b	Number of pre-schools that are	n = 11 Range 0 - 6	M = 2.55	SD = 1.86
_ `	currently in this community			
	,			
		T		
H_2b	Availability of pre-schools in this	1. Yes, all are available for girls	9	90.00
	community for girls	2. Yes, but only partially available for	0	0.00
		girls	1	10.00
		3. No, not available for girls Total	1 10	10.00 100.00
		Total	10	100.00
H_3b	Main problem for pre-schools in this	1. Lack of teacher(s)	2	20.00
	community	2. Lack of teaching materials (books,	5	50.00
	·	paper, etc.)		
		3. Lack of health and hygiene facilities	0	0.00
		(e.g. toilets)		
		4. Lack of water	0	0.00
		5. Lack of electricity	0	0.00
		6. Other	3	30.00
		Total	10	100.00
H_5	Number of primary schools (public	n = 14 Range 0 - 7	M = 2.14	SD = 1.61
5	and private) that are currently in this	li 11 Hange 6 7	'''	35 1.01
	community			
			!	
H_6	Availability of primary schools in this	1. Yes, all are available for girls	12	92.31
	community for girls	2. Yes, but only partially available for	0	0.00
		girls		
		3. No, not available for girls	1	7.69
		Total	13	100.00
H_7	Number of days the children received	n = 13 Range 5 - 6	M = 5.08	SD = 0.28
''-'	classes in primary school during the	5	5.00	35 0.20
	last month			
	nase monen			
H_8	Main problem for primary schools in	1. Lack of teacher(s)	2	15.38
	this community	2. Lack of teaching materials (books,	5	38.46
		paper, etc.)		

3. Lack of health and hygiene facilities 1 7.69	Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
Reg. toilets 4					7.69
A Lack of water 1 7.69					
Box				1	7.69
Box			5. Lack of electricity	1	7.69
Number of secondary schools (public and private) that are currently in this community Number of secondary schools in this community 1. Yes, all are available for girls 2. Yes, but only partially available for girls 1. 6.67 6.67			<u> </u>	3	23.08
Number of secondary schools (public and private) that are currently in this community Number of secondary schools in this community 1. Yes, all are available for girls 2. Yes, but only partially available for girls 1. 6.67 6.67			Total		
Availability of secondary schools in this community Availability of secondary schools in this community for girls 1. Yes, all are available for girls 2. Yes, but only partially available for girls 1 6.67 6					
this community for girls 2. Yes, but only partially available for girls 3. No, not available for girls 3. No, not available for girls 4. 6.67 Missing 7 9 60.00 15 100.00 H_11 Number of days the children received classes in secondary school during the last month H_12 Main problem for secondary schools in this community I Lack of teacher(s) 2. Lack of teaching materials (books, paper, etc.) 3. Lack of health and hygiene facilities (e.g. toilets) 4. Lack of water 5. Lack of electricity 0 0.00 6. Other Missing 11 73.33 15 100.00 H_13 Number of weeks that the primary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the secondary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the primary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the preparatory school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed M=13 Number of weeks that the preparatory school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed M=14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed 1. Due to agricultural work 2. Due to bad weather/natural to 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Н_9	and private) that are currently in this	n = 13 Range 0 - 2	M = 0.46	SD = 0.66
this community for girls 2. Yes, but only partially available for girls 3. No, not available for girls 3. No, not available for girls 4. 6.67 Missing 7 9 60.00 15 100.00 H_11 Number of days the children received classes in secondary school during the last month H_12 Main problem for secondary schools in this community I Lack of teacher(s) 2. Lack of teaching materials (books, paper, etc.) 3. Lack of health and hygiene facilities (e.g. toilets) 4. Lack of water 5. Lack of electricity 0 0.00 6. Other Missing 11 73.33 15 100.00 H_13 Number of weeks that the primary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the secondary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the primary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the preparatory school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed M=13 Number of weeks that the preparatory school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed M=14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed 1. Due to agricultural work 2. Due to bad weather/natural to 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Ц 10	Availability of accordant about in	1 Vac all are available for sink	1 4	20.07
Missing 1 6.67 9 60.00 15 100.00 100.00 15 100.00 15 100.00 15 100.00 15 100.00 100.0	H_10	The state of the s	_		
Number of days the children received classes in secondary school during the last month 1. Lack of teacher(s) 2. Lack of teaching materials (books, paper, etc.) 3. Lack of health and hygiene facilities (e.g. toilets) 4. Lack of water 0 0.00		this community for girls		1	6.67
Missing 70					6.67
Number of days the children received classes in secondary school during the last month 1. Lack of teacher(s) 2. Lack of teaching materials (books, paper, etc.) 3. Lack of health and hygiene facilities (e.g. toilets) 4. Lack of water 0 0.00					
H_11 Number of days the children received classes in secondary school during the last month 1. Lack of teacher(s) 0 0.00 0.00 0.667 0.00 0			_		
Classes in secondary school during the last month			Total	15	100.00
Classes in secondary school during the last month	H 11	Number of days the children received	n = 6 Range 0 - 5	M = 4.17	SD = 2 04
In this community		classes in secondary school during the	III - 0 Rulige 0 3	101 – 4.17	3D - 2.04
In this community	11 12	Nacia analalana fan asaan da maala aa	1	_	0.00
Daper, etc.) 3. Lack of health and hygiene facilities (e.g. toilets) 4. Lack of water 0 0.00 5. Lack of electricity 0 0.00 6. Other 3 20.00 Missing 11 73.33 Total 15 100.00 H_13	H_12	1		_	
3. Lack of health and hygiene facilities		in this community		1	6.67
(e.g. toilets)					0.00
A. Lack of water 0 0.00			1 -	0	0.00
5. Lack of electricity 6. Other Missing Total 1. 73.33 15 100.00 H_13 Number of weeks that the primary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the secondary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the secondary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the preparatory school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_14 Number of weeks that the preparatory school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed 1. Due to agricultural work closed 1. Due to bad weather/natural closed 1. Due to bad weather/natural closed 2. Due to bad weather/natural closed 3. Due to lack of teacher(s) 4. Due to lack of teacher(s) 5. Lack of electricity closed 5. Lack of electricity closed 5. Due to bad weather/natural closed					
H_13					
Missing Total Total 11 73.33 100.00 H_13 Number of weeks that the primary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the secondary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the secondary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the preparatory school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed H_14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed 1. Due to agricultural work 2. Due to bad weather/natural 3. Due to lack of teacher(s) 0 0.00 0.00			<u> </u>		
H_13 Number of weeks that the primary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed n = 13 Range 0 - 5 M = 1.15 SD = 1.77 H_13 Number of weeks that the secondary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed n = 13 Range 0 - 4 M = 0.77 SD = 1.36 H_13 Number of weeks that the preparatory school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed n = 12 Range 0 - 4 M = 0.58 SD = 1.24 H_14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed 1. Due to agricultural work closed 2. Due to bad weather/natural closed 3. Due to lack of teacher(s) 0 0.00 15 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 16 17 18 Main reason primary school(s) were closed 1. Due to agricultural work closed 0 0.00 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19					
H_13 Number of weeks that the primary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed n = 13 Range 0 - 5 M = 1.15 SD = 1.77 H_13 Number of weeks that the secondary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed n = 13 Range 0 - 4 M = 0.77 SD = 1.36 H_13 Number of weeks that the preparatory school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed n = 12 Range 0 - 4 M = 0.58 SD = 1.24 H_14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed 1. Due to agricultural work			_		
school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the secondary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the preparatory school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed H_14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed 1 Due to agricultural work closed 1 Due to bad weather/natural closed 3 Due to lack of teacher(s) 1 Due to lack of teacher(s)			Total	15	100.00
school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the secondary school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the preparatory school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed H_14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed 1 Due to agricultural work closed 1 Due to bad weather/natural closed 3 Due to lack of teacher(s) 1 Due to lack of teacher(s)	LI 12	Number of weeks that the primary	n = 12 Pango O E	M - 1 1E	SD = 1 77
school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the preparatory school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed 1. Due to agricultural work closed 1. Due to agricultural work closed 2. Due to bad weather/natural closed 3. Due to lack of teacher(s) 3. Due to lack of teacher(s)	_	school(s) in the past academic year in	III = 15 Kalige U - 5	IVI = 1.15	3D = 1.77
school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the preparatory school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed 1. Due to agricultural work closed 1. Due to agricultural work closed 2. Due to bad weather/natural closed 3. Due to lack of teacher(s) 3. Due to lack of teacher(s)	H 12	Number of weeks that the secondary	n = 13 Rango 0 - 4	M - 0 77	SD - 1 26
this community was/were closed H_13 Number of weeks that the preparatory school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed 1. Due to agricultural work closed 1. Due to bad weather/natural closed 3. Due to lack of teacher(s) 1. Due to agricultural work closed 3. Due to lack of teacher(s)			1 = 15 Kange 0 - 4	IVI = 0.77	3D = 1.30
H_13 Number of weeks that the preparatory school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed SD = 1.24 H_14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed 1. Due to agricultural work closed 2. Due to bad weather/natural closed 3. Due to lack of teacher(s) 0 0.00 June 10 June 10	- ²				
school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed 1. Due to agricultural work 2. Due to bad weather/natural 3. Due to lack of teacher(s) 0 0.00 0.00		this community was/were closed			
school(s) in the past academic year in this community was/were closed H_14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed 1. Due to agricultural work 2. Due to bad weather/natural 3. Due to lack of teacher(s) 0 0.00 0.00	H 13	Number of weeks that the preparatory	n = 12 Range 0 - 4	M = 0 58	SD = 1 24
this community was/were closed H_14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed 1. Due to agricultural work 2. Due to bad weather/natural 3. Due to lack of teacher(s) 0 0.00 0.00			Nange 0 4	101 - 0.50	JD - 1.24
H_14 Main reason primary school(s) were closed 1. Due to agricultural work 0 0.00 0	-				
_1 closed 2. Due to bad weather/natural 0 0.00 3. Due to lack of teacher(s) 0 0.00		una community was/were closed			
_1 closed 2. Due to bad weather/natural 0 0.00 3. Due to lack of teacher(s) 0 0.00					
3. Due to lack of teacher(s) 0 0.00	H_14	Main reason primary school(s) were	1. Due to agricultural work	0	0.00
	_1	closed	2. Due to bad weather/natural	0	0.00
				0	0.00
			4. Due to security concerns	0	0.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		5. Other	4	80.00
		6. Not applicable	1	20.00
		Total	5	100.00
H 14	Main reason secondary school(s) were	1. Due to agricultural work	0	0.00
2	closed	2. Due to bad weather/natural	0	0.00
_	0.000	3. Due to lack of teacher(s)	0	0.00
		4. Due to security concerns	0	0.00
		5. Other	3	75.00
		6. Not applicable	1	25.00
		Total	4	100.00
		10101	•	100.00
H_14	Main reason preparatory school(s)	1. Due to agricultural work	0	0.00
_3	were closed	2. Due to bad weather/natural	0	0.00
		3. Due to lack of teacher(s)	0	0.00
		4. Due to security concerns	0	0.00
		5. Other	2	66.67
		6. Not applicable	1	33.33
		Total	3	100.00
I_1a	Most important health problem that	1. Diabetes	1	6.67
1_1a	currently affects adult women in this	2. HIV/AIDS	6	40.00
	•	3. Malaria	2	13.33
	community	4.Pneumonia (and other respiratory in		6.67
		5. Trachoma (and other eye problems)	1	6.67
		6. Lack of access to care	1	6.67
		7. Other	2	13.33
				6.67
		8. No (major) health problems Total	1 15	100.00
		Total	13	100.00
I_1b	Second important health problem that	1. Blood pressure	2	20.00
-	currently affects adult women in this	2. Malaria	1	10.00
	community	3. Pneumonia (and other respiratory in	1	10.00
	,	4. Tuberculosis	3	30.00
		5. Stomach illness	1	10.00
		6. Other	2	20.00
		Total	10	100.00
	L			
I_1c	Third important health problem that	1. HIV/AIDS	3	37.50
	currently affects adult women in this	2. Malaria	1	12.50
	community	3. Cancer	1	12.50
	'	4. Typhoid (and other waterborn disea	2	25.00
		5. Other	1	12.50
		Total	8	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
I_2a	Most important health problem that	1. Blood pressure	1	7.14
	currently affects adult men in this	2. HIV/AIDS	6	42.86
	community	3. Malaria	2	14.29
	,	4. Pneumonia (and other respiratory in	1	7.14
		5. Trachoma (and other eye problems)	2	14.26
		6. No (major) health problems	2	14.29
		Total	14	100.00
		,		
I_2b	Second important health problem that		1	11.11
	currently affects adult men in this	2. Malaria	2	22.22
	community	3. Pneumonia (and other respiratory in		11.11
		4. Tuberculosis	3	33.33
		5. Stomach illness	1	11.11
		6. Other	1	11.11
		Total	9	100.00
I_2c	Third important health problem that	1. HIV/AIDS	3	50.00
	currently affects adult men in this	2. Tuberculosis	1	16.67
	community	3. Typhoid	2	33.33
	,	(and other waterborn diseases)		
		Total	6	100.00
I_3a	Most important health problem that	1. Diabetes	1	5.88
	currently affects children under 18 in	2. HIV/AIDS	2	11.76
	this community	3. Malaria	3	17.65
		4. Pneumonia (and other	1	5.88
		respiratory infections)		
		5. Trachoma (and other	1	5.88
		eye problems)		
		6. Typhoid (and other	1	5.88
		waterborn diseases)		
		7. Cold/flu	5	29.41
		8. Other	3	17.65
		Total	17	100.00
1.35	Consend mageting may be at health	In Thy Aire	2	10.07
I_3b	Second most important health	1. HIV/AIDS	2	16.67
	problem that currently affects children		1	8.33
	under 18 in this community	3. Diarrhea	2	16.67
		4. Tuberculosis	1	8.33
		5. Typhoid (and other	1	8.33
		waterborn diseases)	4	0.33
		6. Malnutrition	1	8.33
		7. Other	4	33.33
-	l .	Total	12	100.00
I_3c	Third most important health problem	1. HIV/AIDS	1	16.67
50	that currently affects children under	2. Malaria	1	16.67
	18 in this community	3. Typhoid (and other	1	16.67
<u> </u>	1 to mi tims comminumity	o. Typnora jana otner	1	10.07

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		waterborn diseases)		
		4. Malnutrition	1	16.67
		5. Dehydration	1	16.67
		6. Other	1	16.67
		Total	6	100.00
I_4	Quality of health care services in this	1. Very bad	1	7.14
	community	2. Bad	3	21.43
		3. Medium	5	35.71
		4. Good	4	28.57
		5. Very good	1	7.14
		Total	14	100.00
I_5	Main issue influencing the quality of	1. Lack of medication	7	50.00
	health care services in this community		2	14.29
	liteatti care services in this community	3. Lack of other resources such as	4	28.57
		beds	7	20.57
		4. Other	1	7.14
		Total	14	100.00
	<u> </u>	Total	14	100.00
I_6	Change in the quality of health care	1. Much worse	1	7.14
_	services in this community in the past	2. Worse	1	7.14
	five years	3. The same	2	14.29
	live years	4. Better	8	57.14
		5. Much better	2	14.29
		Total	14	100.00
		1		
J. MIG	GRATION			
J.A CL	JRRENT MIGRATION STOCKS			
JA_1	Number of households in this	1. Less than 25 households	0	0.00
_	community that currently have a	2. Between 25 and 50 households	2	13.33
	migrant abroad	3. Between 50 and 75 households	5	33.33
		4. Between 75 and 100 households	3	20.00
		5. More than 100 households	5	33.33
		Total	15	100.00
JA_2	Number of households in this	1. Less than 25 households	4	26.67
	community that currently have a	2. Between 25 and 50 households	2	13.33
	household member living in another	3. Between 50 and 75 households	0	0.00
	city or village in Ethiopia	4. Between 75 and 100 households	3	20.00
	.,	5. More than 100 households	6	40.00
L		Total	15	100.00
		·		
JA_3	Number of households in this	1. Less than 25 households	10	66.67
	community that currently have a	2. Between 25 and 50 households	2	13.33
	return migrant	3. Between 50 and 75 households	0	0.00
		4. Between 75 and 100 households	1	6.67

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		5. More than 100 households	2	13.33
		Total	15	100.00
J. B M	IGRATION HISTORY: FORCED MIGRATION	ON		
JB_1	Large groups of community members	1. Yes	5	33.33
	that were forced to leave in the	2. No	10	66.67
	history of the this community	Total	15	100.00
JB 2a	Start year of forced migration	1969	1	16.67
_	grand, car or recommendation	1970	1	16.67
		1990	2	33.33
		1994	1	16.67
		1997	1	16.67
		Total	6	100.00
JB_2b	End year of forced migration	1972	1	16.67
		1980	1	16.67
		1991	1	16.67
		1993	1	16.67
		1994	1	16.67
		1997	1	16.67
		Total	6	100.00
10.0	In the state of th	T. C. D	1 14	CD
JB_3	Number of community members	n = 6 Range 10 - 1500	M =	SD =
	involved in forced migration	<u>l</u>	285.33	595.70
JB_4	Gender distribution of community	1. Mostly men	3	50.00
	members involved in forced migration	2. Mostly women and children	1	16.67
	Ğ	3. Both men and women equally	2	33.33
		Total	6	100.00
			-	
J. C M	IGRATION HISTORY: LABOUR MIGRATI	ON		
JC_1	Large flows of labor out-migration in	1. Yes	6	40.00
	the history of this community	2 No	9	60.00
		Total	15	100.00
JC 2a	Start year large flow of labour	1982	1	8.33
	migration out of community	1983	1	8.33
	Inigration out of community	1986	1	8.33
		1987	1	8.33
		1993	1	8.33
		1998	1	8.33
		1999	1	8.33
		2000	4	33.33
		Total	12	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
JC_2b	End year large flow of labour	1992	1	14.29
	migration out of community	1996	1	14.29
	,	1999	1	14.29
		2001	2	28.57
		2003	1	14.29
		Total	7	100.00
			1	1
IC ³	Number of community members	n = 12 Range 20 - 750	M =	SD =
	involved in labour migration		216.83	205.92
JC_4	Gender distribution of labour	1. Mostly men	1 4	36.36
JC_4		1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 7	63.64
	migration	2. Mostly women and children		
		3. Both men and women equally	0	0.00
		Total	11	100.00
JC_5	Destination of labour migration	1. Ethiopia	4	80.00
16_2	Destination of labour migration	2. Other country	1	20.00
		Total	5	100.00
		Total	3	100.00
	T	IV	1	100.00
IC 6	Country to which poonly migrated for			
JC_6	Country to which people migrated for labour IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION	Kuwait Total	1	100.00
	labour	Total		
J. D M	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION Large flows of return migration in the	Total ON 1. Yes	3	20.00
J. D M	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION	Total ON 1. Yes 2. No	3 12	20.00 80.00
J. D M	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION Large flows of return migration in the	Total ON 1. Yes	3	20.00
J. D M	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION Large flows of return migration in the history of this community	Total ON 1. Yes 2. No Total	3 12 15	20.00 80.00 100.00
J. D M	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION Large flows of return migration in the history of this community Start year large flow of return	Total ON 1. Yes 2. No Total	3 12 15	20.00 80.00 100.00
J. D M	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION Large flows of return migration in the history of this community	Total ON 1. Yes 2. No Total 1985 1990	3 12 15	20.00 80.00 100.00 33.33 33.33
J. D M	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION Large flows of return migration in the history of this community Start year large flow of return	Total ON 1. Yes 2. No Total 1985 1990 2003	1 3 12 15	20.00 80.00 100.00 33.33 33.33 33.33
J. D M	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION Large flows of return migration in the history of this community Start year large flow of return	Total ON 1. Yes 2. No Total 1985 1990	3 12 15	20.00 80.00 100.00 33.33 33.33
J. D M JD_1 JD_2	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION Large flows of return migration in the history of this community Start year large flow of return migration into community	Total ON 1. Yes 2. No Total 1985 1990 2003 Total	1 3 12 15 1 1 1 3	20.00 80.00 100.00 33.33 33.33 33.33 100.00
J. D M JD_1 JD_2	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION Large flows of return migration in the history of this community Start year large flow of return migration into community End year large flow of return	Total ON 1. Yes 2. No Total 1985 1990 2003	1 3 12 15 1 1 1 3	20.00 80.00 100.00 33.33 33.33 300.00
J. D M JD_1 JD_2	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION Large flows of return migration in the history of this community Start year large flow of return migration into community	Total ON 1. Yes 2. No Total 1985 1990 2003 Total	1 3 12 15 1 1 1 3	20.00 80.00 100.00 33.33 33.33 33.33 100.00
J. D M JD_1 JD_2	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION Large flows of return migration in the history of this community Start year large flow of return migration into community End year large flow of return	Total ON 1. Yes 2. No Total 1985 1990 2003 Total No observations	1 3 12 15 1 1 1 1 3	20.00 80.00 100.00 33.33 33.33 100.00
J. D M JD_1 JD_2	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION Large flows of return migration in the history of this community Start year large flow of return migration into community End year large flow of return migration into community	Total ON 1. Yes 2. No Total 1985 1990 2003 Total No observations	1 3 12 15 1 1 1 1 3	20.00 80.00 100.00 33.33 33.33 100.00
J. D M JD_1 JD_2	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION Large flows of return migration in the history of this community Start year large flow of return migration into community End year large flow of return migration into community Number of community members	Total ON 1. Yes 2. No Total 1985 1990 2003 Total No observations Total	1 3 12 15 1 1 1 3 NA NA NA	20.00 80.00 100.00 33.33 33.33 100.00 NA NA
J. D M JD_1 JD_2	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION Large flows of return migration in the history of this community Start year large flow of return migration into community End year large flow of return migration into community	Total ON 1. Yes 2. No Total 1985 1990 2003 Total No observations Total	1 3 12 15 1 1 1 3 NA NA NA	20.00 80.00 100.00 33.33 33.33 100.00 NA NA
J. D M JD_1 JD_2	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION Large flows of return migration in the history of this community Start year large flow of return migration into community End year large flow of return migration into community Number of community members	Total ON 1. Yes 2. No Total 1985 1990 2003 Total No observations Total	1 3 12 15 1 1 1 3 NA NA NA	20.00 80.00 100.00 33.33 33.33 100.00 NA NA
J. D M JD_1 JD_2 JD_2b JD_3	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION Large flows of return migration in the history of this community Start year large flow of return migration into community End year large flow of return migration into community Number of community members involved in return migration Gender distribution of comm	Total 1. Yes 2. No Total 1985 1990 2003 Total No observations Total No observations	1 3 12 15 1 1 1 3 NA NA NA	20.00 80.00 100.00 33.33 33.33 100.00 NA NA NA
J. D M JD_1 JD_2 JD_2b JD_3	IGRATION HISTORY: RETURN MIGRATION Large flows of return migration in the history of this community Start year large flow of return migration into community End year large flow of return migration into community Number of community members involved in return migration	Total 1. Yes 2. No Total 1985 1990 2003 Total No observations Total No observations 1. Mostly men	1 3 12 15 1 1 1 3 NA NA NA NA	20.00 80.00 100.00 33.33 33.33 100.00 NA NA NA

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
J. E V	IEWS OF MIGRATION			
JE_1	Perceptions of community members	1. Very negatively	0	0.00
	that leave this community to live	2. Negatively	0	0.00
	elsewhere	3. Neutral	1	6.67
		4. Positively	8	53.33
		5. Very positively	5	33.33
		6. This is mixed	1	6.67
		Total	15	100.00
	•			•
JE_2	Explanation perceptions on	1. They leave to prosper	7	46.67
	community members that leave this	economically		
	community to live elsewhere	2. With the money earned they	6	40.00
		can help their family		
		3. They leave for good	1	6.67
		4. Other	1	6.67
		Total	15	100.00
JE_3	Perceptions on return migrants in this	1. Very negatively	1	6.67
	community	2. Negatively	0	0.00
		3. Neutral	0	0.00
		4. Positively	10	66.67
		5. Very positively	4	26.67
		6. This is mixed	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
JE_4	Explanation perceptions on return	1. Returnees are expected to have	6	40.00
	migrants	money> help family and/or		40.00
		2. It is good they returned to their	3	20.00
		homeland		20.00
		3. People have respect for	1	6.67
		the returnees		
		4. Returnees accept lifestyle	1	6.67
		of community		
		5. Migrants shouldn't return = lazy	1	6.67
		6. Other	3	20.00
		Total	15	100.00
	T	T		
JE_5	Perceptions on households with a	1. Very negatively	0	0.00
	current migrant in this community	2. Negatively	0	0.00
		3. Neutral	4	28.57
		4. Positively	3	21.43
		5. Very positively	6	42.86
		6. This is mixed	1	7.14
		Total	14	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
	Explanation perceptions on	1. Migrant family benefits from it	9	60.00
JE_6	households with a current migrant in	economically	9	00.00
	this community	2. Migrant family is respected by	1	6.67
		community	-	0.07
		3. Neutral attitude	3	20.00
		4. Mixed feelings: respect but	1	6.67
		also jealous		
		5. Other	1	6.67
		Total	15	100.00
15.7	Theoretic and beautiful and	1 Vomenogativale		0.00
JE_7	Thoughts on how international	1. Very negatively	0 2	0.00 13.33
	migration affects this community	Negatively Neutral	4	26.67
		4. Positively	7 2	46.67
		5. Very positively		13.33
		Total	15	100.00
15.0	Combanation on the combanan offerst of	1 Curan out the community	1 2	20.00
JE_8	Explanation on thoughts on affect of	1.Support the community	3	20.00
	international migration in this	2. Support HH/family members	3	20.00
	community	economically		C C7
		3. Create jobs4. Generate wealth	1 2	6.67 13.33
		4. Generate wealth	2	13.33
		5. Young, productive	3	20.00
		(and educated) workforce leaves		
		6. No effect	2	13.33
		7. Other	1	6.67
		Total	15	100.00
JE_9	Thoughts on how internal migration	1. Very negatively	0	0.00
	affects this community	2. Negatively	0	0.00
		3. Neutral	6	40.00
		4. Positively	8	53.33
		5. Very positively	1	6.67
		Total	15	100.00
	T- 1	Tax no contract		26.67
JE_10	Explanation on thoughts on affect	1.Improve living standards	4	26.67
	internal migration on this community	2. Better opportunities elsewhere	3	20.00
		3. Migrant becomes independent	1	6.67
		from HH		40.00
		4. No effect	6	40.00
		5. Other	1	6.67
		Total	15	100.00

	_	-	
JE_11 Thoughts on how international	1. Very negatively	0	0.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
	migration affects this country in	2. Negatively	4	28.57
	general	3. Neutral	2	14.29
		4. Positively	6	42.86
		5. Very positively	2	14.29
		Total	14	100.00
JE_12	Explanation on thoughts on how	1. Support the family and/or	8	53.33
	international migration affects this	2. Positive effect on currency	1	6.67
	country in general	3. Young productive (and educated) workforce leaves	2	13.33
			4	c c - 7
		4. Bad image for the country	1	6.67
		5. People lose affection for country	1	6.67
		6. No effect	1	6.67
		7. Other	1	6.67
		Total	15	100.00
JE 13	Perception on return migrants being	1. Yes, very different	5	33.33
	different from other community	2. Yes, a little bit different	3	20.00
	Imembers	3. No	7	46.67
	inembers	Total	15	100.00
	!	1.000		
JE_14	Explanation of return migrants being	1. More knowledge	2	13.33
	different from other community	2. Behaviour is different	4	26.67
	members	(clothes, the way they talk)		
		= adapted to a different culture		
		3. Have become hard workers	1	6.67
		4. Complain about services	1	6.67
		in community		
		5. Not applicable	7	46.67
		(answer previous question was no)		
		Total	15	100.00
JE_15	Effect on community of return	1. Very negatively	1	7.69
	migrants being different from other	2. Negatively	2	15.38
	community members	3. Neutral	4	30.77
		4. Positively	6	46.15
		5. Very positively	0	0.00
		Total	13	100.00
IF 16	Explanation of effect on community of	1 Support family	1	7.69
	return migrants being different from	2. Role model for com. members	2	15.38
	other community members	(knowledge)		15.50
	other community members	3. Returnees have no problems	1	7.69
		integrating		7.03
		4. Returnees don't fit in	1	7.69
		(dif. culture)	_	7.03
		5. Stimulate more migration	1	7.69
		6. No effect	5	38.46
		IOI IN CITCUL		JU.TU

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		Total	13	100.00
JE_17	Perception of return migrants having	1. Yes, very different	3	20.00
_		2. Yes, a little bit different	7	46.67
	community members	3. No	5	33.33
	,	Total	15	100.00
JE_18	Explanation on perception of return	1. Their behaviour is different	4	26.67
	migrants having different values and norms than other community	2. More knowledge about hygiene, health	1	6.67
	members	3. Easily fit in the community	2	13.33
	inembers	4. Need time to adjust	1	6.67
		5. Other	1	6.67
		6. Not applicable	6	
		Total	15	100.00
JE_19	Effect on community of return	1. Very negatively	1	11.11
	migrants having different norms and	2. Negatively	2	22.22
	values compared to other community	3. Neutral	3	33.33
	members	4. Positively	3	33.33
		5. Very positively	0	0.00
		Total	9	100.00
15 20	Is	A That had a transfer different	2	20.57
JE_20	Explanation of effect on community of		2	28.57
	return migrants having different	2. Share knowledge (about hygiene)	2 1	28.57 14.29
	norms and values compared to other	Easily fit in the community Respected by community	1	14.29 14.29
	community members	5. Returnees think they are special (neg		14.29
		6. No effect	1	14.29
		7. Other	_	14.29
			1	
		Total	7	100.00
к. сні	LDREN LEFT BEHIND			
K_1	Number of households in this	1. Less than 25 households	11	73.33
	community that have children of	2. Between 25and 50 households	3	20.00
	which at least one parent currently	3. Between 50 and 75 households	1	6.67
		4. Between 75 and 100 households	0	0.00
		5. More than 100 households	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
	T	T		
ע ז	Main reasons why the parent(s) of	1. They were forced to leave due to	0	0.00
K_2	these children left this community	security issues or conflicts	1.4	ດວ ວວ
		2. They left to seek for better	14	93.33
		economic opportunities 3. Other	1	6 67
		Not applicable	1 0	6.67 0.00
		Total	1 5	100.00
K_3		Emotional or psychological support	13	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
	of which at least one parent lives	2. Material support (e.g., clothing,		
	abroad (all that apply)	food, etc.)	5	0
		3. Financial support	4	0
		4. Social support (e.g., help in	3	0
		household work or family		
		business/farm)		
		5. Support for schooling (e.g.,		
		allowance, free or reduced		
		admission/books/supplies, etc.)	2	0
		6. Other	5	0
		Total	26	100.00
			1	
K_4	Perception on children left behind by	1. Very negatively	0	0.00
	other community members	2. Negatively	2	13.33
		3. Neutral	7	46.67
		4. Positively	5	33.33
		5. Very positively	1	6.67
		6. This is mixed	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
	Number of households in this	1. Almost all of the households	2	13.33
LA_I		2. More than half of the households	5	33.33
	community that receive international	3. Around half of the households	2	33.33 13.33
	remittances on a regular basis	4. Less than half of the households	6	40.00
		5. Almost no households	0	0.00
		Total	1 5	1 00.00
		Total	13	100.00
	Most important way of households	1. The household members bring it	3	20.00
LA 2a	receiving international remittances in	themselves		
_	this community	2. Friends or relatives bring it for the	2	13.33
		household members		
		3. Through a money transfer operator	6	40.00
		(formal)	-	
		4. Through a shop keeper/call house/	1	6.67
		hawala (informal)	_	
			_	20.00
		5. Through a bank	3	20.00
		5. Through a bank 6. Through the mail	3 0	0.00
		6. Through the mail		0.00
			0	
		6. Through the mail	0	0.00
		6. Through the mail7. Via stored value card (bank/phone)	0 0	0.00 0.00
		6. Through the mail7. Via stored value card (bank/phone)8. Other	0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00
		6. Through the mail7. Via stored value card (bank/phone)8. OtherDon't know	0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
		6. Through the mail7. Via stored value card (bank/phone)8. OtherDon't knowNot applicableTotal	0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
	Second most important way of households receiving international	6. Through the mail7. Via stored value card (bank/phone)8. OtherDon't knowNot applicable	0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
	remittances in this community	2. Friends or relatives bring it for the	4	26.67
	•	household members		
		3. Through a money transfer operator	1	6.67
		(formal)		
		4. Through a shop keeper/call house/	1	6.67
		hawala (informal)		
		5. Through a bank	1	6.67
		6. Through the mail	0	0.00
		7. Via stored value card (bank/phone)	0	0.00
		8. Other	0	0.00
		Don't know	0	0.00
		Not applicable	6	40.00
		Total	15	100.00
	Third most important way of	1. The household members bring it	0	0.00
LA 2c	households receiving international	themselves		
_	remittances in this community	2. Friends or relatives bring it for the	1	11.11
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	household members		
		3. Through a money transfer operator	1	11.11
		(formal)		
		4. Through a shop keeper/call house/	0	0.00
		hawala (informal)		
		5. Through a bank	3	33.33
		6. Through the mail	0	0.00
		7. Via stored value card (bank/phone)	0	0.00
		8. Other	0	0.00
		Don't know	0	0.00
		Not applicable	4	44.44
-		Total	9	100.00
14.2-	Nonet improvement much large because had a	14 The costs and too black	4	7.14
LA_3a	Most important problem households	1. The costs are too high	1	7.14
	encounter when receiving	2. There are limited possibilities to	3	21.43
	international remittances	send money or goods	0	0.00
		3. The money travels too slowly	0	0.00
		4. The system is insecure	1	7.14
		5. There are legal restrictions in	1	7.14
		sending money	4	20.57
		6. Other	4	28.57
		Don't know	0	0.00
		Not applicable	4	28.57
		Total	14	100.00
LA_3b	Second most important problem	1. The costs are too high	0	0.00
	households encounter when receiving	2. There are limited possibilities to	1	6.67
	international remittances	send money or goods		
		3. The money travels too slowly	1	6.67
		4. The system is insecure	1	6.67
		5. There are legal restrictions in	0	0.00
		sending money		

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		6. Other	0	0.00
		Don't know	0	0.00
		Not applicable	12	80.00
		Total	15	100.00
LA_3c	Third most important problem	1. The costs are too high	0	0.00
	households encounter when receiving	2. There are limited possibilities to	0	0.00
	international remittances	send money or goods		
l		3. The money travels too slowly	0	0.00
		4. The system is insecure	0	0.00
		5. There are legal restrictions in	0	0.00
		sending money		
		6. Other	0	0.00
		Don't know	0	0.00
		Not applicable	3	100.00
		Total	3	100.00
LA_4	Extent to which households in this	1. Very dependent	2	13.33
	community are dependent on	2. Dependent	6	40.00
	international remittances	3. Neutral	2	13.33
		4. Not dependent	3	26.67
		5. Not dependent at all	1	6.67
		Total	15	100.00
	CEIVING INTERNAL MONETARY REMIT		0	0.00
LB_1	Number of households in this	1. Almost all of the households	0	0.00
	community that receive internal	2. More than half of the households	3	20.00
	remittances on a regular basis	3. Around half of the households	0	0.00
		4. Less than half of the households	7	46.67
		5. Almost no households	5	33.33
		Total	15	100.00
IR 2a	Most important way of households	1. The household members bring	1	6.67
LD_2a	receiving internal remittances in this	it themselves	1	0.07
		2. Friends or relatives bring it for	4	26.67
	community	the household members	4	20.07
		3. Through a money transfer operator (formal)	2	13.33
		4. Through a shop keeper/call house/hawala (informal)	0	0.00
l		5. Through a bank	7	46.67
		6. Through the mail	0	0.00
			_	0.00
		7. Via stored value card (bank/phone)	0	0.00
		8. Not applicable	1	6.67
		Total	15	100.00
	Second most important way of	1. The household members bring	1	6.67
	households receiving internal	it themselves		
		•	•	U

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
	remittances in this community	Friends or relatives bring it for the household members	3	20.00
		3. Through a money transfer operator (formal)	0	0.00
		4. Through a shop keeper/call house/hawala (informal)	0	0.00
		5. Through a bank	2	13.33
		6. Through the mail	0	0.00
		7. Via stored value card (bank/phone)	0	0.00
		8. Not applicable	9	60.00
		Total	15	100.00
LB 2c	Third most important way of households receiving internal	The household members bring it themselves	1	16.67
_	remittances in this community	2. Friends or relatives bring it for the	1	16.67
		household members 3. Through a money transfer operator (formal)	0	0.00
		4. Through a shop keeper/call house/hawala (informal)	0	0.00
		5. Through a bank	0	0.00
		6. Through the mail	0	0.00
		7. Via stored value card (bank/phone)	0	0.00
		8. Not applicable	4	66.67
		Total	6	100.00

LB_3a Most important problem households	1. The costs are too high	1	6.67
encounter when receiving internal	2. There are limited possibilities to	2	13.33
remittances	send money or goods		
	3. The money travels too slowly	1	6.67
	4. The system is insecure	0	0.00
	5. There are legal restrictions in	1	6.67
	sending money		
	6. Other	5	33.33
	7. Not applicable	5	26.00
	Total	15	100.00
LB_3b Second most important problem	1. The costs are too high	0	0.00
households encounter when receiving	2. There are limited possibilities to	1	6.67
internal remittances	send money or goods		
	3. The money travels too slowly	0	0.00
	4. The system is insecure	0	0.00
	5. There are legal restrictions in	0	0.00
	sending money		
	6. Other	0	0.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		7. Not applicable	14	93.33
		Total	15	100.00
			•	
LB_3c	Third most important problem	1. The costs are too high	0	0.00
	households encounter when receiving	2. There are limited possibilities to	0	0.00
	internal remittances	send money or goods		
		3. The money travels too slowly	0	0.00
		4. The system is insecure	0	0.00
		5. There are legal restrictions in	0	0.00
		sending money		
		6. Other	0	0.00
		7. Not applicable	1	100.00
		Total	1	100.00
	I	Te ve i i i i	T .	42.22
LB_4	Extent to which households in this	1. Very dependent	2	13.33
	community are dependent on internal	2. Dependent	1	6.67
	remittances	3. Neutral	4	26.67
		4. Not dependent	5	33.33
		5. Not dependent at all	2	13.33
		6. Not applicable	1	6.67
		Total	15	100.00
	IEWS ON RECEIVING REMITTANCES	Id Manusacativals	Ι ο	0.00
LC_1	View on effect remittances have on	1. Very negatively	0	0.00
	receiving households in this	2. Negatively	2	13.33
	community	3. Neutral	1	6.67
		4. Positively	10	66.67
		5. Very positively Total	2 15	13.33
		Total	15	100.00
LC_2	Explanation on view on effect	1. Used for daily needs/consumption	4	26.67
	remittances have on receiving	2. It improves their lives (buy house,		20.07
	households in this community	land, set up a business)	5	3.33
	liousenoids in this community	3. It helps the community	1	6.67
		4. It's good to help your family	1	6.67
		5. People become dependent on the	_	
		money (and stop working)	3	23.08
		6. No effect	1	6.67
		Total	15	100.00
		•		
LC_3	View on effect remittances have on	1. Very negatively	0	0.00
	this community as a whole	2. Negatively	1	6.67
	·	3. Neutral	4	26.67
		4. Positively	9	60.00
		5. Very positively	1	6.67
		Total	15	100.00
LC_4	Explanation on view on effect	1. Used for daily needs/consumption	2	14.29
	remittances have on this community	2. It improves their lives (buy house,	_	25 71
	·		~	/ !

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
	as a whole	land, set up a business)	3	33.71
		3. People become dependent on the	1	7.14
		money (and stop working)	1	7.14
		4. Promotes migration	1	7.14
		5. (Almost) No effect	3	21.43
		6. Other	1	7.14
		7. Don't know	1	7.14
		Total	14	100.00
LC_5	View on perception of household	1. Very negatively	0	0.00
	members that receive remittances by	2. Negatively	1	6.67
	other community members	3. Neutral	4	26.67
		4. Positively	8	53.33
		5. Very positively	2	13.33
		Total	15	100.00
		_		
	Explanation view on perception of	1. Lucky/wealthy/have a comfortable	8	61.54
LC_6	household members that receive	or better life	8	01.54
	remittances by other community	2. They help the community	2	15.38
	members	3. No specific perception (neutral)	3	23.08
		Total	13	100.00
LD_1	Number of households in this	1. Almost all of the households	0	0.00
	community that send international	2. More than half of the households	0	0.00
	remittances on a regular basis	3. Around half of the households	0	0.00
		4. Less than half of the households	1	6.67
		5. Almost no households	14	93.33
		Total	15	100.00
	Most important way of households in	1. The household members bring it		
LD 2a	this community sending international	themselves	1	6.67
_	remittances	2. Friends or relatives bring it for the		
		household members	1	6.67
		3. Through a money transfer operator		
		(formal)	1	6.67
		4. Through a shop keeper/call	0	0.00
		5. Through a bank	1	6.67
		6. Through the mail	0	0.00
		7. Via stored value card (bank/phone)	· ·	0.00
			0	0.00
		8. Other	2	13.33
		9. Not applicable	9	60.00
		Total	15	100.00
	Second most important way of	1. The household members bring it		
אכ חוו	dia anno a la allai a formatica a caracterio della constitución.	thomsolves	1	6 67
LD_21	households in this community sending international remittances	2. Friends or relatives bring it for	1	6.67 6.67

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		the household members		
		3. Through a money transfer	0	0.00
		operator (formal)		
		4. Through a shop keeper/call		
		house/hawala (informal)	0	0.00
		5. Through a bank	0	0.00
		6. Through the mail	0	0.00
		7. Via stored value card (bank/phone)	, and the second	0.00
		7. Via storea value cara (barriy priorie)	0	0.00
		8. Other	0	0.00
		9. Not applicable	13	86.67
		Total	15	100.00
		Total	13	100.00
	Third most important way of	1. The household members bring it		
ID 2c	households in this community sending	_	0	0.00
	international remittances	2. Friends or relatives bring it for	0	0.00
	international remittances	the household members	Ü	0.00
		3. Through a money transfer operator		
		(formal)	0	0.00
		4. Through a shop keeper/call	O	0.00
			0	0.00
		house/hawala (informal)	0	
		5. Through a bank	0	0.00
		6. Through the mail	0	0.00
		7. Via stored value card (bank/phone)		0.00
			0	0.00
		8. Other	0	0.00
		9. Not applicable	2	100.00
		Total	2	100.00
ID 22	Most important problem households	1. The costs are too high	0	0.00
LD_Sa		_		6.67
	<u> </u>	2. There are limited possibilities to	1	0.07
	remittances	send money or goods	0	0.00
		3. The money travels too slow	0	0.00
		4. The system is insecure	0	0.00
		5. There are legal restrictions in	0	0.00
		sending money		6.6-
		6. Other	1	6.67
		7. Not applicable	13	86.67
		Total	15	100.00
10.0	le	A The costs are true likely		0.00
LD_3b	Second most important problem	1. The costs are too high	0	0.00
	households encounter when sending	2. There are limited possibilities to	0	0.00
	international remittances	send money or goods	_	
		3. The money travels too slow	0	0.00
		4. The system is insecure	0	0.00
		5. There are legal restrictions in	0	0.00
		sending money		
		6. Other	0	0.00
		7. Not applicable	15	100.00
		Total	15	100.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
			1	
LD_3c	Third most important problem	1. The costs are too high	0	0.00
	households encounter when sending	2. There are limited possibilities	0	0.00
	international remittances	to send money or goods		0.00
		3. The money travels too slow	0	0.00
		4. The system is insecure	0	0.00
		5. There are legal restrictions in	0	0.00
		sending money		0.00
		6. Other	0	0.00
		7. Not applicable	0	0.00
		Total	0	0.00
I F SF	NDING INTERNAL MONETARY REMITTA	INCES		
	NOMO INTERNAL MONETARY REMITTA	iii CL3		
LE_1	Number of households in this	1. Almost all of the households	0	0.00
	community that send internal	2. More than half of the households	1	6.67
	remittances on a regular basis	3. Around half of the households	2	13.33
		4. Less than half of the households	9	60.00
		5. Almost no households	3	20.00
		Total	15	100.00
			1	
	Most important way of households	1. The household members bring it	1	6.67
LE_2a	sending internal remittances from this	themselves	4	26.67
	community	2. Friends or relatives bring it for the	4	26.67
		household members		0.00
		3. Through a money transfer operator	0	0.00
		(formal)	0	0.00
		4. Through a shop keeper/call	0	0.00
		house/hawala (informal)		F2 22
		5. Through a bank	8	53.33
		6. Through the mail	0	0.00
		7. Via stored value card (bank/phone)	0	0.00
		8. Other	0	0.00
		9. Not applicable	2	13.33
		Total	15	100.00
	In	T		
	Second most important way of	1. The household members bring it	1	6.67
LE_2D	households sending internal	themselves		40.00
	remittances from this community	2. Friends or relatives bring it for	2	13.33
		the household members		0.00
		3. Through a money transfer	0	0.00
		operator (formal)	4	6.67
		4. Through a shop keeper/call	1	6.67
		house/hawala (informal)	4	c c -
		5. Through a bank	1	6.67
		6. Through the mail	1	6.67
		7. Via stored value card (bank/phone)	0	0.00
I	l	I]	l I

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		8. Other	0	0.00
		9. Not applicable	9	60.00
		Total	15	100.00
	Third most important way of	1. The household members bring it	0	0.00
LE_2c	households sending internal	themselves		
	remittances from this community	2. Friends or relatives bring it for the	0	0.00
		household members		
		3. Through a money transfer operator (formal)	0	0.00
		4. Through a shop keeper/call	0	0.00
		house/hawala (informal)		
		5. Through a bank	0	0.00
		6. Through the mail	0	0.00
		7. Via stored value card (bank/phone)	0	0.00
		8. Other	0	0.00
		9. Not applicable	6	100.00
		Total	6	100.00
		T		
	Most important problem households	1. The costs are too high	2	13.33
	encounter when sending internal	2. There are limited possibilities to	1	6.67
	remittances	send money or goods		
		3. The money travels too slow	2	13.33
		4. The system is insecure	0	1.00
		5. There are legal restrictions in	1	6.67
		sending money		40.00
		6. Other	2	13.33
		7. Not applicable	7	46.67
		Total	15	100.00
IE 3h	Second most important problem	1. The costs are too high	0	0.00
_		2. There are limited possibilities to	0	0.00
	households encounter when sending internal remittances	send money or goods	U	0.00
	internal remittances	3. The money travels too slow	0	0.00
		4. The system is insecure	1	6.67
		5. There are legal restrictions in	0	0.07
		sending money	U	0.00
		6. Other	0	0.00
		7. Not applicable	14	93.33
		7. Not applicable	17	55.55

_	_		_		
LE_3c	Third most important problem	1. The costs are too high	0	0.00	l
	households encounter when sending	2. There are limited possibilities to	0	0.00	l
	internal remittances	send money or goods			l

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent		
		3. The money travels too slow	0	0.00		
		4. The system is insecure	0	0.00		
		5. There are legal restrictions in	0	0.00		
		sending money				
		6. Other	0	0.00		
		7. Not applicable	1	100.00		
		Total	1	100.00		
M. MI	M. MIGRANTS' INVESTMENT AND CHARITABLE ACTIVITIES					
M_1	Frequency of visits from community	1. Never	1	6.67		
	members that live abroad	2. Sometimes	11	73.33		
		3. Often	3	20.00		
		4. Always	0	0.00		
		Total	15	100.00		
			_			
M_2	Investments of community members	1. Yes	7	46.67		
	that live abroad	2. No	8	53.33		
		Total	15	100.00		
	I	Ta a : 1: /: 1 : 1)		20.57		
M_3a	Most important sector of investment	1. Agriculture (incl. animals)	2	28.57		
	of community members that live	2. Construction	2	28.57		
	abroad	3. Hotels	2	28.57		
		4. Business	0	0.00		
		5. Transportation	1	14.29		
		6. Other	0	0.00		
		7. Not applicable	0	0.00		
		Total	7	100.00		
		•	•	•		
M_3b	Second most important sector of	1. Agriculture (incl. animals)	1	16.67		
	investment of community members	2. Construction	1	16.67		
	that live abroad	3. Hotels	2	33.33		
		4. Business	1	16.67		
		5. Transportation	0	0.00		
		6. Other	1	16.67		
		7. Not applicable	0	0.00		
		Total	6	100.00		

M_3c Third most important sector of	1. Agriculture (incl. animals)	0	0.00
investment of community members	2. Construction	1	25.00

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
	that live abroad	3. Hotels	0	0.00
		4. Business	0	0.00
		5. Transportation	0	0.00
		6. Other	2	50.00
		7. Not applicable	1	25.00
		Total	4	100.00
		Total	4	100.00
M_4	Involvement in humanitarian or	1. Yes	3	20.00
_	charitable (NGO) activities of	2. No	12	80.00
	community members	Total	15	100.00
		•		
M_5a	Most important sector of	1. Construction of schools/mosques	1	33.33
	humanitarian involvement of	2. HIV/AIDS	1	33.33
	community members that live abroad	3. Providing goods to elderly (e.g. blankets)	1	33.33
		4. Helping poor people	0	0.00
		5. Holiday celebrations	0	0.00
		Total	3	100.00
		•	I.	
M_5b	Second most important sector of	1. Construction of schools/mosques	0	0.00
	humanitarian involvement of	2. HIV/AIDS	0	0.00
	community members that live abroad	3. Providing goods to elderly (e.g. blankets)	0	0.00
		4. Helping poor people	1	100.00
		5. Holiday celebrations	0	0.00
		Total	1	100.00
		1		
M_5c	Third most important sector of	1. Construction of schools/mosques	0	0.00
	humanitarian involvement of	HIV/AIDS Providing goods to elderly	0	0.00 0.00
	community members that live abroad	(e.g. blankets)	0	0.00
		4. Helping poor people	0	0.00
		5. Holiday celebrations	1	100.00
		Total	1	100.00
			1.	
M_6	Effect of investments made by	1. Very negatively	0	0.00
	community members that live abroad	2. Negatively	0	0.00
	in this community	3. Neutral	0	0.00
		4. Positively	5	71.43
		5. Very positively	2	28.57
		Total	7	100.00

M_7	Explanation of effect of investments	1. Create job opportunities	5	71.43
	made by community members that	2. People are happy about	1	14.29

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
	live abroad	the investments		
		3. Other	1	14.29
		Total	7	100.00
			L.	
M_8	Effect of charitable activities of	1. Very negatively	0	0.00
	community members that live abroad	2. Negatively	0	0.00
	on this community	3. Neutral	0	0.00
	,	4. Positively	0	0.00
		5. Very positively	2	100.00
		Total	2	100.00
		•	•	
	Explanation of effect of charitable	1. Money/support helps with access	2	CC C7
M_9	activities of community members that	to education	2	66.67
	live abroad	2. People are happy (esp. elderly)	1	33.33
		Total	3	100.00
			•	
M_10	When people leave their country they	1. Strongly disagree	4	26.67
_1	make life harder for those who stay	2. Disagree	4	26.67
	,	3. Neutral	0	0.00
		4. Agree	7	46.67
		5. Strongly agree	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
		•	•	
M_10	When people leave their country they	1. Strongly disagree	1	6.67
_2	still contribute to the country of origin	2. Disagree	2	13.33
	, ,	3. Neutral	1	6.67
		4. Agree	7	46.67
		5. Strongly agree	4	26.67
		Total	15	100.00
		•	•	
M_10	When people leave their country they	1. Strongly disagree	3	20.00
_3	are able to support families in country	2. Disagree	0	0.00
	of origin	3. Neutral	0	0.00
		4. Agree	7	46.67
		5. Strongly agree	5	33.33
		Total	15	100.00
M_10	When people leave their country they	1. Strongly disagree	4	26.67
_4	abandon their country	2. Disagree	9	60.00
_	,	3. Neutral	0	0.00
		4. Agree	0	0.00
		5. Strongly agree	2	13.33
		Total	15	100.00

M_10	When people leave their country they	1. Strongly disagree	1	7.14
_5	get rich	2. Disagree	2	14.29

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent
		3. Neutral	4	28.57
		4. Agree	5	35.71
		5. Strongly agree	2	14.29
		Total	14	100.00
M_10	When people receive money from	1. Strongly disagree	2	14.29
_6	abroad they become lazier	2. Disagree	5	35.71
		3. Neutral	2	14.29
		4. Agree	2	14.29
		5. Strongly agree	3	21.43
		Total	14	100.00
M_10	When people receive money from	1. Strongly disagree	2	14.29
_7	abroad it leads to resentment from	2. Disagree	3	21.43
	others	3. Neutral	3	21.43
		4. Agree	6	42.86
		5. Strongly agree	0	0.00
		Total	14	100.00
_		T		
	When people receive money from	1. Strongly disagree	0	0.00
_8	abroad they get rich	2. Disagree	4	30.77
		3. Neutral	2	15.38
		4. Agree	6	46.15
		5. Strongly agree	1	7.69
		Total	13	100.00
NA 10	NA/I	1 Chronali dicama	1	15.20
	When people receive money from	1. Strongly disagree	2	15.38
_9	abroad it helps develop our country	2. Disagree	2	15.38
		3. Neutral	1	7.69
		4. Agree	5	38.46
		5. Strongly agree	3	23.08
		Total	13	100.00
M 10	When people who have lived abroad	1. Strongly disagree	0	0.00
_10		2. Disagree	2	14.29
	come back they help the country	3. Neutral	2	14.29
		4. Agree	8	57.14
		_	2	14.29
		5. Strongly agree Total	14	100.00
		Total	14	100.00
M 10	When people who have lived abroad	1. Strongly disagree	1	6.67
11	come back they do not fit in	2. Disagree	6	40.00
		3. Neutral	2	13.33
		4. Agree	6	40.00
		5. Strongly agree	0	0.00
		Total	15	100.00
	ı	1		
	When people who have lived abroad	1. Strongly disagree	1	6.67
_12	come back they bring new ideas,	2. Disagree	1	6.67

Var.	Label	Category	Frequency	Percent		
	knowledge and technology	3. Neutral	1	6.67		
	-	4. Agree	6	40.00		
		5. Strongly agree	6	40.00		
		Total	15	100.00		
M_10	When people who have lived abroad	1. Strongly disagree	0	0.00		
_13	come back they receive preferential	2. Disagree	2	18.18		
	treatment	3. Neutral	0	0.00		
		4. Agree	8	72.73		
		5. Strongly agree	1	9.09		
		Total	11	100.00		







